3 D EVALUATION AND CONTROL IN BUILDINGS

WILLIAM M. EWING CHRISTOPHER DEPASQUALE

EVA M. EWING

TOD A. DAWSON

ASTM

Lead Hazard Evaluation and Control in Buildings

William M. Ewing, Eva M. Ewing, Christopher DePasquale, and Tod A. Dawson, Authors

ASTM Stock Number: MNL38



Printed in the U.S.A.

ISBN: 0-8031-2086-9

Copyright © 2000 AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR TESTING AND MATERIALS, West Conshohocken, PA. All rights reserved. This material may not be reproduced or copied, in whole or in part, in any printed, mechanical, electronic, film, or other distribution and storage media, without the written consent of the publisher.

Photocopy Rights

Authorization to photocopy items for internal, personal, or educational classroom use, or the internal, personal, or educational classroom use of specific clients, is granted by the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) provided that the appropriate fee is paid to the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923; Tel: 508-750-8400; online: http://www.copyright.com/.

NOTE: This manual does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this manual to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.

Printed in Philadelphia, PA March 2000

Preface

THE SUBJECT OF lead hazard control and mitigation continues to receive significant attention. The focus of the attention is the potential hazard that lead poses to the developmental capabilities and health of young children and the health of construction workers. Federal and local regulations have been promulgated in response to legislation to reduce the human-health hazards from lead. Standards play an important role in lead-hazard regulations, programs, and activities.

ASTM Subcommittee E06.23 was formed in response to a request from the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) in 1991 to provide to the public a comprehensive set of consensus standards that describe procedures for assessing, abating or mitigating, and monitoring lead hazards in buildings. Liaisons were formed with other ASTM committees, including D01 on paints and related materials, D18 on soil and rock, D22 on sampling and analysis of atmospheres, and E50 and E51 on environmental assessments. E06.23 has also coordinated its efforts with HUD, the Centers for Disease Control (CDC), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), the National Institute for Standards and Technology (NIST), the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC), the Department of Defense (DOD), the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), and other federal state agencies to assist the subcommittee in meeting this goal.

The Subcommittee developed the "Standard Guide for Evaluation, Management, and Control of Lead Hazards in Facilities" (designated ASTM Standard E 2052). This standard provides guidance to facility owners and property managers in developing and implementing a lead hazard management program. This handbook was developed to provide further guidance in establishing a successful program.

Mary E. McKnight Chairperson, ASTM E06.23

Disclaimer

USERS OF THIS MANUAL are advised that federal, state, and local regulations affecting lead hazards in facilities change frequently. It is the user's responsibility to comply with all applicable regulations and remain informed of changes to standard methods and practices.

This manual does not purport to address all of the environmental, safety, and health concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this manual to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.

The American Society for Testing and Materials takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this manual. Users of this manual are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

Acknowledgments

THIS DOCUMENT WAS PREPARED by Compass Environmental, Inc. of Kennesaw, Georgia. The authors were William M. Ewing, CIH, Eva M. Ewing, CIH, Christopher DePasquale, MPH, and Tod A. Dawson. The authors appreciate the technical input provided by Mr. Ron Short of New Port Richey, Florida. The authors welcome any comments. For contact information see www.compassenv.com.

The authors are indebted to the Editorial Board established for this project, and the external peer reviewers. Each of these individuals are experts in the field of lead hazard control in their own right. Together, they provided an unsurpassed base of knowledge which the authors could draw upon. Of particular note are Mr. Geoff Braybrooke and Dr. Warren Friedman, who volunteered many weeks of effort on the original ASTM standard and this interpretive guidance manual.

The authors express their sincere appreciation to Ms. Kathy Dernoga and her staff at ASTM headquarters. Their guidance and expertise in shepherding this project through to completion was a significant accomplishment.

Lastly, this project would not have been possible without the hard work of the ASTM Subcommittee E06.23 volunteers. Under the leadership of Dr. Mary McKnight this subcommittee has produced 22 full consensus standards addressing lead hazard identification, evaluation, and control.

EDITORIAL BOARD

Geoffrey Braybrooke, PE, IHIT, ASP Industrial Hygiene Field Services Program CHPPM Lead Team US Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine (USACHPPM) ATTN: MCHB-DC-OFS 5158 Blackhawk Road Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5422

Mark L. Demyanek, CIH, CSP Board of Regents of the University System of Georgia 270 Washington St., S.W. Atlanta, GA 30334-1450

Gary Dewalt, Ph.D. QuanTech, Inc. 1911 Ft. Meyer Dr., Suite 1000 Rosslyn, VA 22209

Warren Friedman, Ph.D., CIH Director, Planning and Standards Division U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Lead Hazard Control (P3206) Washington, DC 20410

Steve M. Hays, PE, CIH Gobbell Hays Partners, Inc. 217 Fifth Avenue North Nashville, TN 37219

Albert Liabastre, Ph.D. Quality Control Program Chief U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine USACHPPM-DSA-S ATTN: MCHB-AS-IH (Liabastre), Bldg. 180 Ft. McPherson, GA 30330-5000

Mary McKnight, Ph.D. NIST (BMD) Building & Fire Research Laboratory Building 226, Room B-350 Gaithersburg, MD 20899

Mr. Fred Eberle Dewberry & Davis 8401 Arlington Boulevard Fairfax, VA 22031-4666 Mr. Braybrooke has specialized in developing medical and other policy and guidance for lead hazard management, conducting lead hazard evaluations, and providing guidance to installation personnel. He is an active member of ASTM Subcommittee E06.23 and wrote ASTM E 2052.

Mr. Demyanek is the Director of Environmental Safety for the University System of Georgia. His responsibilities include student and faculty housing throughout the state. He co-authored the *Asbestos Management Documentation Package* for the U.S. General Services Administration.

Dr. Dewalt is a laboratory chemist and an active member of ASTM Committee E06.23. He is the chair of its task force on risk assessment.

Dr. Friedman is responsible for technology and policy research programs at HUD's Office of Lead Hazard Control. He was formerly an Industrial Hygienist for 11 years with the General Services Administration. He is secretary of ASTM Subcommittee E06.23 and co-chair of E06.23.72 on Management of Lead Hazards. He was the task force co-chair for ASTM E 2052.

Mr. Hays is a consulting chemical engineer and industrial hygienist. He was a principal author of *Lead-Based Paint Operations & Maintenance Work Practices Manual for Homes and Buildings* published by the National Institute of Building Sciences.

Dr. Liabastre is Chief of the Quality Control Program at the U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine at Ft. McPherson, GA. He is an active member of ASTM Subcommittee E 06.23 and co-chair of E06.23.72 on Management of Lead Hazards. He supervised the writing of ASTM E 2052.

Dr. McKnight is a Chemist with the Building Materials Division of NIST with over 20 years experience and numerous peerreviewed articles to her credit. She is the Chair of ASTM Subcommittee E06.23 on the Abatement of Lead Hazards in Buildings and Chair of ASTM Committee D-1, on Paint and Related Coatings, Materials and Applications.

Mr. Eberle is a consultant with recognized expertise in lead hazard evaluation and management. He is an active member of ASTM Subcommittee E06.23, and author of several standards.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1: INT	RODUCTION A	ND SUMMARY
----------------	--------------------	------------

1.1	INTE	NDED USERS OF THIS HANDBOOK	1-1
	1.1.1	The lead hazard control manager	1-1
	1.1.2	Facility owners and managers	1-1
	1.1.3	Lead hazard control consultants and contractors	1-1
1.2	DETE	RMINING THE NEED FOR A LEAD HAZARD MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (LHMP)	1-1
	1.2.1	Scope of ASTM E 2052	1-1
	1.2.2	Which buildings in a facility need a LHMP?	1-1
	1.2.3	Summary of the LHMP elements	1-1
	1.2.4	Advantages and limitations of a LHMP	1-2
	1.2.5	Integration of the LHMP into overall facility management	1-2
1.3	ноw	TO USE THIS HANDBOOK	1-2
	1.3.1	Application of ASTM E 2052	1-3
	1.3.2	Application of other ASTM standards	1-4
	1.3.3	Coordination with regulatory requirements	1-4
1.4	EXA	MPLE FACILITY OWNERS AND MANAGERS	1-4
CHA	PTER 2:	INFORMATION AND GUIDANCE ON LEAD HAZARDS	
2.1	OUTL	INE OF THE HAZARD	2-1
2.2	THE 1	ESSENTIAL BOOKSHELF	2-2
	2.2.1	HUD guidance and regulations	2-2
	2.2.2	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)	2-6
	2.2.3	Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA)	2-7
	2.2.4	National Institute of Building Sciences (NIBS)	2-8
	2.2.5	ASTM standards and guides	2 -9
2.3	OTHE	R HELPFUL REFERENCES AND INFORMATION	2-11
	2.3.1	Technical Books and publications	2-11
	2.3.2	Other standards and methods	2-12
	2.3.3	Newsletters and conferences	2-12
	2.3.4	Other sources of information	2-12
CHA	PTER 3:	SUMMARY OF THE LEAD HAZARD MANAGEMENT PROGRAM	
3.1	PURP	OSE AND SCOPE OF THE LHMP	3-1
3.2	FACI	JTY CLASSIFICATION	3-1
	3.2.1	Class A, B and C facilities	3-1
	3.2.2	Initial facility classification	3-3
3.3	MANA	GEMENT OF CLASS A AND B FACILITIES	3-3
	3.3.1	Routine maintenance and cleaning	3-3
	3.3.2	Occupant education and protection	3-3
	3.3.3	Environmental, safety and health programs	3-4
	3.3.4	Real estate transaction procedures	3-4
	3.3.5	Elevated blood lead child response	3-4
	3.3.6	Prioritization scheme for multiple facilities	3-4

3.4	LEAI) HAZARD CONTROL PROJECTS	3-4
	3.4.1	Planning lead hazard control projects	3-5
	3.4.2	Conducting lead hazard control projects	3-5
3.5	MON	ITOR AND RE-EVALUATE ON AN ONGOING BASIS	3-5
3.6	PROC	GRAM MANAGEMENT	3-5
	3.6.1	Use of qualified persons and organizations	3-5
	3.6.2	Sample and analyze as appropriate	3-5
	3.6.3	Maintain documentation of activities and conditions	3-5
CHA	PTER 4:	BASIC MANAGEMENT ELEMENTS OF THE PROGRAM	
4.1	OVEI	RVIEW OF PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	4-1
4.2	SELE	CTION AND QUALIFICATION OF PERSONNEL	4- 1
	4.2.1	LHMP manager and staff	4-1
	4.2.2	Consultants, contractors and laboratories	4-2
	4.2.3	Training organizations and courses	4-3
4.3	OBT	AINING QUALITY DATA	4-3
	4.3.1	Developing sampling plans	4-4
	4.3.2	Using standard methods	4-4
	4.3.3	Quality system procedures	4-4
	4.3.4	Review procedures	4-4
4.4	KEEF	PING GOOD RECORDS	4-5
	4.4.1	Need for records	4-5
	4.4.2	Record keeping systems	4-5
	4.4.3 4.4.4	Required and recommended records to keep	4-5 4-5
4.5	PROG	GRAM REVIEW AND AUDIT	4-6
CHA	PTER 5:	COLLECTING INFORMATION ON FACILITIES	
5.1	PURP	OSE AND ADVANTAGE OF BUILDING CLASSIFICATION	5-1
52	THE	RUU DING CLASSIFICATIONS	5 1
~	5.2 1	Class A facility criteria	5-1 E 1
	5.2.2	Class B facility criteria	5-1
	5.2.3	Class C facility criteria	5-1
	5.2.4	Application of sub-classifications	5-7
	5.2.5	Consideration of applicable federal, state and local regulations	5-2
5.3	DETE	RMINATION OF FACILITY AGE	5-2
	5.3.1	Historical document review	5-2
	5.3.2	Construction, renovation, remodeling projects	5-3
	5.3.3	Building history versus paint history	5-3
	5.3.4	Establish best available data	5-3
5.4	CLAS	SIFICATION OF BUILDING/FACILITY USAGE	5-4
	5.4.1	Residential, institutional, commercial, or industrial	5-4
	5.4.2	Child occupied, child visitation, no children	5-4

	5.4.3	Occupational lead hazard sources	5-5
	5.4.4	Non-occupational lead sources	5-5
5.5	BUIL	DING ENVIRONMENT AND LOCATION	5-5
	5.5.1	Known or suspected outdoor sources of lead	5-5
		5.5.1.1 Industrial sources of lead	5-5
		5.5.1.2 Proximity to painted steel structure	5 -6
		5.5.1.3 Naturally occurring sources	5-6
		5.5.1.4 Other exterior lead sources	5-6
		5.5.1.5 Playground equipment	5-7
	5.5.2	Review of lead in water data	5-7
	5.5.3	Review of available community lead screening data	5-7
5.6	PREV	IOUS REPORTS AND EVALUATIONS	5-7
	5.6.1	Previous lead-based paint inspections/assessments for specific buildings	5-7
	5.6.2	Previous lead-based paint inspections/assessments for similar buildings	5-7
	5.6.3	Environmental site assessment information	5-7
	5.6.4	Other reports and data	5-7
5.7	BUILI	DING MAINTENANCE AND CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION	5-8
	5.7.1	Paint history of building	5-8
	5.7.2	Use of other leaded components	5 -8
5.8	DOCL	MENTATION OF BUILDING CLASSIFICATION INFORMATION	5-8
	5.8.1	Building information checklist	5-8
	5.8.2	Applying the ASTM E 2052 flow diagram to establish building classes	5-9
5.9	EXAN	IPLES OF THE ASTM BUILDING CLASSIFICATION SCHEME	5-9
	5.9.1	Municipal housing agency with 40 buildings and 600 units	5-9
	5.9.2	Private apartment complex with 4 buildings and 36 units.	5-9
CHA	PTER 6:	LEAD HAZARD EVALUATION	
6.1	PURP	OSE OF LEAD HAZARD EVALUATION	6-1
	6.1.1	Current and future sources of lead hazards	6-1
	6.1.2	Methods of evaluating lead hazards	6-1
	6.1.3	Purpose and role of the evaluation in the lead hazard management program	6-4
	6.1.4	Requirements under regulations to conduct lead hazard evaluation	6-4
6.2	SETT	ING PRIORITIES FOR CONDUCTING LEAD HAZARD EVALUATIONS	6-4
	6.2.1	Elevated Blood Lead Level (EBL) cases (highest priority)	6-4
	6.2.2	Child-occupied facilities	6-4
	6.2.3	Class A priority over class B	6-4
	6.2.4	Other criteria for priority setting	6-4
		6.2.4.1 Date of construction	6-5
		6.2.4.2 Physical condition of facility	6-5
		6.2.4.3 Environmental source of lead contamination	6-5
		6.2.4.4 Children with EBLs in neighborhood	6-5
		6.2.4.5 Current and future renovation or repair plans	6-5
		6.2.4.6 Available resources	6-5
6.3	СНОС	SING SIMILAR GROUPS OF BUILDINGS FOR MULTIPLE EVALUATION	6-5
	6.3.1	Characteristics of similar facilities	6-5
	6.3.2	Selecting representative facilities (or representative rooms in large buildings) from larger groups	6-5

6.4	SELE	CTING EVALUATION METHODS	6-6
	6.4.1	Need to evaluate lead hazards	6-6
	6.4.2	Regulatory requirements to evaluate lead hazards	6-6
	6.4.3	Other reasons to evaluate lead hazards	6-7
	6.4.4	Presumptions for facilities not (yet) evaluated	6-7
		6.4.4.1 Lead-based paint presumption	6-7
		6.4.4.2 Lead contamination presumption	6-7
		6.4.4.3 Refused access presumptions	6-7
	6.4.5	Employ standard evaluation techniques	6-7
		6.4.5.1 Regulatory standards	6-7
		6.4.5.2 HUD guidelines	6-7
		6.4.5.3 ASTM standards	6-8
6.5	LEAD	ED PAINT CHARACTERIZATION VERSUS LEAD-BASED PAINT INSPECTIONS	6-9
	6.5.1	Leaded paint characterization	6-9
	6.5.2	Lead-based paint inspection	6-9
	6.5.3	Application of ASTM E 2052, Section 18 for sampling and analysis procedures	6-9
		6.5.3.1 Qualitative methods	6-10
		6.5.3.2 Semi-quantitative methods	6-10
		6.5.3.3 Quantitative methods	6-10
	6.5.4	Methods to perform lead-based paint inspections	6-10
	6.5.5	Report outline and format	6-10
6.6	LEAD	HAZARD RISK SCREENS VERSUS LEAD HAZARD RISK ASSESSMENTS	6-11
	6.6.2	Lead hazard risk screens for class B facilities in good condition	6-11
	6.6.3	Lead hazard risk assessments for class A facilities and selected class B facilities	6-12
	6.6.4	Decision logic for selecting risk screen or full risk assessment	6-12
	6.6.5	Facility sampling techniques	6-12
		6.6.5.1 Targeted sampling for selecting units for risk assessment or screening	6-12
		6.6.5.2 Worst-case sampling	6-12
		6.6.5.3 Random sampling	6-12
		6.6.5.4 Common use areas	6-14
	0.0.0	Consideration of water testing	6-14
6.7	INTE	RPRETING EVALUATION REPORTS AND RESULTS	6-14
	6.7.2	Standard report format for risk assessments	6-14
	6.7.3	Review of evaluation results and reclassification of facilities if necessary	6-15
CHAI	PTER 7:	INITIATING THE LEAD HAZARD MANAGEMENT PLAN	
7.1	OVER	VIEW OF LEAD HAZARD MANAGEMENT PLANS AND PROGRAMS	7-1
	7.1.1	Individual facility plans	7-3
	7.1.2	Comprehensive management program	7-3
	7.1.3	Establishing priorities for developing and implementing the plans	7-3
	7.1.4	Goal of the program	7-4
	7.1.5	Eliminating exposures at identified lead exposure pathways	7-4
7.2	OBTA	INING NECESSARY RESOURCES	7-4
	7.2.1	HUD guidelines (Chapter 3)	7-4
	7.2.2	HUD Task Force Report (1995)	7-4
	7.2.3	HUD regulations	7-5
	7.2.4	EPA regulations	7-5
	7.2.5	OSHA regulations	7-5
	7.2.6	State/local regulations	7-6
	1.2.1	N1B5 documents	7-6

	7.2.8	ASTM methods/guidance	7-6
	7.2.9	Other guidance	7 -6
7.3	PREP	ARING A WRITTEN LEAD HAZARD MANAGEMENT PLAN	7-6
	7.3.1	Identification of known or presumed lead hazards and pathways of exposure	7 -6
	7.3.2	Preventive measures	7-7
	7.3.3	Selection of control methods	7-7
	7.3.4	Priority of implementing control methods	7 -8
	7.3.5	Regulatory requirements	7-8
	7.3.6	Role of staff and contractors/consultants	7-8
	7.3.7	Financial resources available, including grants and loans	7-8
	7.3.8	Standard operating procedures (SOPs)	7-9
7.4	ADM	INISTRATION OF PLAN	7-9
	7.4.1	Selecting a lead hazard control program manager	7-9
	7.4.2	Assign personnel or obtain contractors/consultants to perform required tasks/roles	7 - 9
	7.4.3	Develop time lines to meet goals	7-9
	7.4.4	Secure financial support necessary to implement the Plan	7-10
	7.4.5	Conduct or obtain necessary training and expertise	7-10
	7.4.6	Set-up lead hazard control program compliance assurance procedures	7-10
	7.4.7	Designate qualified personnel to revise SOPs on an annual basis and as needed	7-10
CHA	PTER 8:	OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE (O&M) PROGRAM	
8.1	MINI	MIZING AND CONTROLLING LEAD HAZARDS THROUGH O&M ACTIVITIES	8-1
	8.1.1	Establishing an O&M program applicable to all class A and B facilities	8-1
	8.1.2	Incorporate O&M program into overall lead hazard management program	8-1
	8.1.3	Applicable OSHA regulations for lead O&M work	8- 2
	8.1.4	O&M work activities are not lead abatement projects	8-2
	8.1.5	O&M work practice levels	8- 2

8.2	2 ESSENTIAL MAINTENANCE PRACTICES		
	8.2.1	Reduce lead hazards through good work practices	

8.3

8.4

8.2.1	Reduce lead hazards through good work practices, specialized cleaning and control of work sites	8-3
8.2.2	Periodic visual inspections for deteriorated paint	8-3
8.2.3	Systematic repair of damaged paint	8-4
8.2.4	Training procedures for maintenance staff	8-5
8.2.5	Designated personnel for lead work	8-5
8.2.6	Set-up project classification system and work order procedure	8-5
8.2.7	Procedures for selection of appropriate work practices	8-6
8.2.8	Worker protection procedures and equipment	8-9
8.2.9	Prohibit further use of lead-containing paint	8-9
8.2.10	Restriction on use of plumbing fixtures and solder	8-10
8.2.11	Policy and procedures for drinking water coolers with lead-lined tanks	8-10
8.2.12	Specialized cleaning practices	8-10
SELE	CTION OF WORK PRACTICES	8-10
MANA	GEMENT AND DOCUMENTATION OF LEAD-RELATED WORK	8-11
8.4.1	Management of in-house work	8-11
8.4.2	Management of contracted work	8-11
8.4.3	Management of occupant-conducted or occupant-contracted work	8-11
8.4.4	Recordkeeping	8-11

8-3

CHAPTER 9: OCCUPANT EDUCATION AND PROTECTION PROGRAM

9.1	EVAL	JUATING OCCUPANCY CHARACTERISTICS	9- 1
	9.1.1	Lessor/lessee arrangements with building owner/manager	9-1
	9.1.2	Population of facilities including ages of residents and socioeconomic status of households	9-1
	9.1.3	Appraisal of occupants' attitudes about risk of lead hazards and confidence in building management	9-1
	9.1.4	Estimate tenant turnover	9-1
	9.1.5	Considerations of language barriers and occupants' literacy	9-1
9.2	DETE	RMINATION OF EDUCATION AND PROTECTION NEEDS	9-1
	9.2.1	Designation of person responsible for occupant education program	9- 1
	9.2.2	Resources available for occupant education programs	9-1
		9.2.2.1 Lead hazard management plan	9-1
		9.2.2.2 Building specific information	9-2
		9.2.2.3 Disclosure forms and information pamphlets	9-2
		9.2.2.4 Health department assistance	9-2
		9.2.2.5 Lead-in-water test results	9-2
		9.2.2.6 Other available guidance and education materials	9-3
	9.2.3	Determine delivery method(s) for information	9-3
9.3	PREP	ARATION OF WRITTEN PROGRAM	9-3
	9.3.1	Disclosure procedures for new occupants (or existing occupants when implementing a new plan)	9-3
		9.3.1.1 Dissemination of educational information	9-3
		9.3.1.2 Establishing a point of contact for occupants	9-3
		9.3.1.3 Warning to occupants about known or suspected lead hazards to children and others	9-3
		9.3.1.4 Outline procedures to access occupants' space for lead hazard management activities	9-3
		9.3.1.5 Provide information on lead-in-water per 40 CFR 141	9-5
	9.3.2	On-going occupant notice and education	9-5
		9.3.2.1 Precautions occupants should take to protect themselves	9-5
		9.3.2.2 Recognition and reporting of deteriorating lead-based paint and other lead hazards	9-5
		9.3.2.3 Reporting by occupant of activities likely to result in lead exposure	9-5
		9.3.2.4 Reporting to occupants of lead hazard control projects	9-5
		9.3.2.5 Complaint resolution procedures without reprisal	9-6
	9.3.3	Occupant relocation	9-6
		9.3.3.1 Occupant relocation procedures	9-6
		9.3.3.2 Relocation due to existing lead hazard risks	9-6
		9.5.5.5 Relocation due to lead nazard control project	9-0
9.	4 PRO	TECTING OCCUPANTS DURING LEAD WORK	9-6
	9.4.1	General procedures to limit contamination during work	9-6
	9.4.2	Relocation of occupants during work	9- 7
	9.4.3	Protection of occupants' belongings	9- 7
	9.4.4	Cleaning and storing occupants' belongings	9-7
	9.4.5	Control of the work site to prevent unauthorized access	9-7
	9.4.6	Control of work practices	9- 7
CHAI	PTER 10:	ENVIRONMENTAL, SAFETY AND HEALTH PROGRAMS	
10.1	OVER	VIEW OF ENVIRONMENTAL, SAFETY, AND HEALTH PROGRAMS	10-1
10.2	OCCU	JPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY PROGRAMS	10-1
	10.2.1	Written lead compliance plans	10-1
	10.2.2	Competent person(s)	10-3
		10.2.2.1 Duties and responsibilities in accordance with SSPC-QP2	10-3
		10.2.2.2 Qualifications needed per OSHA and ASTM E 2052, Section 17	10-3

	10.2.3	Site speci	ific training	10-4
	10.2.4	Exposure assessment		
	10.2.5	Engineeri	ing and work practice controls	10-5
	10.2.6	Respirato	bry protection program	10-5
	10.2.7	Protective	e clothing and equipment	10-6
	10.2.8	Housekee	eping	10-7
	10.2.9	Hygiene	facilities and practices	10-8
	10.2.10	Medical s	surveillance	10-8
	10.2.11	Hazard co	ommunication	10-8
	10.2.12	Signs		10-8
	10 2 13	Other safe	ety and health considerations	10-8
	10.2.14	Records		10-9
				10 5
10.3	ENVIRO	NMENTA	L PROTECTION PROGRAM	10-10
	10.3.1	Contamin	ation control procedures	10-10
	10.3.2	Containm	ient and monitoring plans	10-11
	10.3.3	Air sampl	ling and dust sampling	10-11
		10.3.3.1	Baseline sampling before work	10-12
		10.3.3.2	Sampling during work activities	10-12
		10.3.3.3	Sampling after accidents or other lead-related episodes	10-12
10.4	WASTE	MANAGE	MENT PROGRAM	10-12
	10.4.1	Overview	of regulatory requirements for lead waste	10-12
	10.4.2	Character	ization of waste	10-14
	10.4.3	Site-speci	fic waste management plans	10-14
		10.4.3.1	Lead waste minimization plans	10-15
	10.4.4	Selection	of waste disposal firm	10-15
CHAP	FER 11: P	LANNING	LEAD HAZARD CONTROL PROJECTS	
11 1	DI ANNI			
11.1		Child occ	NIONITIZATION OF LEAD HALAND CONTROL PROJECTS	11-1
	11.1.1		apicu identities	11-1
	11.1.2	Class A la		11-2
	11.1.5	Close D fr	301117100	11 0
	1114	Class B fa	acilities	11-2
	11.1.4	Class B fa Other fact	activities tors affecting priority of work Existing facility condition	11-2 11-2
	11.1.4	Class B fa Other fact 11.1.4.1	activities tors affecting priority of work Existing facility condition	11-2 11-2 11-2
	11.1.4	Class B fa Other fact 11.1.4.1 11.1.4.2	activities tors affecting priority of work Existing facility condition Lead contamination sources EPL access in paichborhood	11-2 11-2 11-2 11-2
	11.1.4	Class B fa Other fact 11.1.4.1 11.1.4.2 11.1.4.3	actines tors affecting priority of work Existing facility condition Lead contamination sources EBL cases in neighborhood	11-2 11-2 11-2 11-2 11-3
	11.1.4	Class B fa Other fact 11.1.4.1 11.1.4.2 11.1.4.3 11.1.4.3 11.1.4.4	actines tors affecting priority of work Existing facility condition Lead contamination sources EBL cases in neighborhood Occupant turnover plans	11-2 11-2 11-2 11-2 11-3 11-3
	11.1.4	Class B fa Other fact 11.1.4.1 11.1.4.2 11.1.4.3 11.1.4.3 11.1.4.4 11.1.4.5	actines tors affecting priority of work Existing facility condition Lead contamination sources EBL cases in neighborhood Occupant turnover plans Current and future renovation plans	11-2 11-2 11-2 11-3 11-3 11-3
	11.1.4	Class B fa Other fact 11.1.4.1 11.1.4.2 11.1.4.3 11.1.4.3 11.1.4.4 11.1.4.5 11.1.4.6	actifies tors affecting priority of work Existing facility condition Lead contamination sources EBL cases in neighborhood Occupant turnover plans Current and future renovation plans Effect of tenant relocation on priority of scheduled work	11-2 11-2 11-2 11-3 11-3 11-3 11-3
	11.1.4	Class B fa Other fact 11.1.4.1 11.1.4.2 11.1.4.3 11.1.4.4 11.1.4.5 11.1.4.6 Selection	actines tors affecting priority of work Existing facility condition Lead contamination sources EBL cases in neighborhood Occupant turnover plans Current and future renovation plans Effect of tenant relocation on priority of scheduled work of control methods	11-2 11-2 11-2 11-3 11-3 11-3 11-3 11-4
	11.1.4	Class B fa Other fact 11.1.4.1 11.1.4.2 11.1.4.2 11.1.4.3 11.1.4.4 11.1.4.5 11.1.4.6 Selection 11.1.5.1	actimes tors affecting priority of work Existing facility condition Lead contamination sources EBL cases in neighborhood Occupant turnover plans Current and future renovation plans Effect of tenant relocation on priority of scheduled work of control methods Review records and gather necessary information about building components and systems	11-2 11-2 11-2 11-3 11-3 11-3 11-3 11-4 11-7
	11.1.4	Class B fa Other fact 11.1.4.1 11.1.4.2 11.1.4.3 11.1.4.4 11.1.4.5 11.1.4.6 Selection 11.1.5.1 11.1.5.2	actimes tors affecting priority of work Existing facility condition Lead contamination sources EBL cases in neighborhood Occupant turnover plans Current and future renovation plans Effect of tenant relocation on priority of scheduled work of control methods Review records and gather necessary information about building components and systems Review any plans for other repair and renovation work	11-2 11-2 11-2 11-3 11-3 11-3 11-3 11-4 11-7 11-7
	11.1.4	Class B fa Other fact 11.1.4.1 11.1.4.2 11.1.4.3 11.1.4.3 11.1.4.4 11.1.4.5 11.1.4.6 Selection 11.1.5.1 11.1.5.2 11.1.5.3	actimes tors affecting priority of work Existing facility condition Lead contamination sources EBL cases in neighborhood Occupant turnover plans Current and future renovation plans Effect of tenant relocation on priority of scheduled work of control methods Review records and gather necessary information about building components and systems Review any plans for other repair and renovation work Review available control methods and evaluate each for technical applicability to the facility	11-2 11-2 11-2 11-3 11-3 11-3 11-3 11-4 11-7 11-7 11-7
	11.1.4	Class B fa Other fact 11.1.4.1 11.1.4.2 11.1.4.3 11.1.4.4 11.1.4.5 11.1.4.6 Selection 11.1.5.1 11.1.5.2 11.1.5.3 11.1.5.4	actimes tors affecting priority of work Existing facility condition Lead contamination sources EBL cases in neighborhood Occupant turnover plans Current and future renovation plans Effect of tenant relocation on priority of scheduled work of control methods Review records and gather necessary information about building components and systems Review any plans for other repair and renovation work Review available control methods and evaluate each for technical applicability to the facility Cost efficiency of control methods technically achievable	11-2 11-2 11-2 11-3 11-3 11-3 11-3 11-3
	11.1.4	Class B fa Other fact 11.1.4.1 11.1.4.2 11.1.4.3 11.1.4.4 11.1.4.5 11.1.4.6 Selection 11.1.5.1 11.1.5.2 11.1.5.3 11.1.5.4 11.1.5.5	actimes tors affecting priority of work Existing facility condition Lead contamination sources EBL cases in neighborhood Occupant turnover plans Current and future renovation plans Effect of tenant relocation on priority of scheduled work of control methods Review records and gather necessary information about building components and systems Review any plans for other repair and renovation work Review available control methods and evaluate each for technical applicability to the facility Cost efficiency of control methods technically achievable Other factors affecting selection of control methods	11-2 11-2 11-2 11-3 11-3 11-3 11-3 11-3
	11.1.4	Class B fa Other fact 11.1.4.1 11.1.4.2 11.1.4.3 11.1.4.4 11.1.4.5 11.1.4.6 Selection 11.1.5.1 11.1.5.2 11.1.5.3 11.1.5.4 11.1.5.5 11.1.5.6	actimes tors affecting priority of work Existing facility condition Lead contamination sources EBL cases in neighborhood Occupant turnover plans Current and future renovation plans Effect of tenant relocation on priority of scheduled work of control methods Review records and gather necessary information about building components and systems Review available control methods and evaluate each for technical applicability to the facility Cost efficiency of control methods technically achievable Other factors affecting selection of control methods Pilot projects	11-2 11-2 11-2 11-3 11-3 11-3 11-3 11-3
	11.1.4	Class B fa Other fact 11.1.4.1 11.1.4.2 11.1.4.3 11.1.4.4 11.1.4.5 11.1.4.6 Selection 11.1.5.1 11.1.5.2 11.1.5.3 11.1.5.4 11.1.5.5 11.1.5.6 Planning t	actimes tors affecting priority of work Existing facility condition Lead contamination sources EBL cases in neighborhood Occupant turnover plans Current and future renovation plans Effect of tenant relocation on priority of scheduled work of control methods Review records and gather necessary information about building components and systems Review any plans for other repair and renovation work Review available control methods and evaluate each for technical applicability to the facility Cost efficiency of control methods technically achievable Other factors affecting selection of control methods Pilot projects the lead hazard control project	11-2 11-2 11-2 11-3 11-3 11-3 11-3 11-4 11-7 11-7 11-7 11-7 11-8 11-8 11-8 11-8
	11.1.4	Class B fa Other fact 11.1.4.1 11.1.4.2 11.1.4.3 11.1.4.4 11.1.4.5 11.1.4.6 Selection 11.1.5.1 11.1.5.2 11.1.5.3 11.1.5.4 11.1.5.5 11.1.5.6 Planning t 11.1.6.1	actimes tors affecting priority of work Existing facility condition Lead contamination sources EBL cases in neighborhood Occupant turnover plans Current and future renovation plans Effect of tenant relocation on priority of scheduled work of control methods Review records and gather necessary information about building components and systems Review records and gather necessary information work Review any plans for other repair and renovation work Review available control methods and evaluate each for technical applicability to the facility Cost efficiency of control methods technically achievable Other factors affecting selection of control methods Pilot projects the lead hazard control project Site-specific occupant protection plan	11-2 11-2 11-2 11-3 11-3 11-3 11-3 11-4 11-7 11-7 11-7 11-7 11-7 11-8 11-8 11-8
	11.1.4	Class B fa Other fact 11.1.4.1 11.1.4.2 11.1.4.3 11.1.4.4 11.1.4.5 11.1.4.6 Selection 11.1.5.1 11.1.5.2 11.1.5.2 11.1.5.3 11.1.5.4 11.1.5.5 11.1.5.6 Planning t 11.1.6.1	actimes tors affecting priority of work Existing facility condition Lead contamination sources EBL cases in neighborhood Occupant turnover plans Current and future renovation plans Effect of tenant relocation on priority of scheduled work of control methods Review records and gather necessary information about building components and systems Review any plans for other repair and renovation work Review available control methods and evaluate each for technical applicability to the facility Cost efficiency of control methods technically achievable Other factors affecting selection of control methods Pilot projects the lead hazard control project Site-specific environmental, safety, and health plan	11-2 11-2 11-2 11-3 11-3 11-3 11-3 11-3
	11.1.4	Class B fa Other fact 11.1.4.1 11.1.4.2 11.1.4.3 11.1.4.4 11.1.4.5 11.1.4.6 Selection 11.1.5.1 11.1.5.2 11.1.5.2 11.1.5.3 11.1.5.4 11.1.5.5 11.1.5.6 Planning to 11.1.6.1 11.1.6.2 11.1.6.3	actimes tors affecting priority of work Existing facility condition Lead contamination sources EBL cases in neighborhood Occupant turnover plans Current and future renovation plans Effect of tenant relocation on priority of scheduled work of control methods Review records and gather necessary information about building components and systems Review any plans for other repair and renovation work Review available control methods and evaluate each for technical applicability to the facility Cost efficiency of control methods technically achievable Other factors affecting selection of control methods Pilot projects the lead hazard control project Site-specific environmental, safety, and health plan Materials handling and storage	11-2 11-2 11-2 11-3 11-3 11-3 11-3 11-3
	11.1.4	Class B fa Other fact 11.1.4.1 11.1.4.2 11.1.4.3 11.1.4.4 11.1.4.5 11.1.4.6 Selection 11.1.5.1 11.1.5.2 11.1.5.3 11.1.5.4 11.1.5.5 11.1.5.6 Planning to 11.1.6.1 11.1.6.2 11.1.6.3	actimes tors affecting priority of work Existing facility condition Lead contamination sources EBL cases in neighborhood Occupant turnover plans Current and future renovation plans Effect of tenant relocation on priority of scheduled work of control methods Review records and gather necessary information about building components and systems Review any plans for other repair and renovation work Review available control methods and evaluate each for technical applicability to the facility Cost efficiency of control methods technically achievable Other factors affecting selection of control methods Pilot projects the lead hazard control project Site-specific occupant protection plan Site-specific environmental, safety, and health plan Materials handling and storage Applicable codes, regulations, and guidance	11-2 11-2 11-2 11-3 11-3 11-3 11-3 11-3

11.1.6.5 In-house versus contracted work Lead hazard control project management 11.1.7

11-10

		11.1.7.1 Project schedule and timeline	11-10
		11.1.7.2 Management procedures	11-10
		11.1.7.3 Trouble shooting and responding to incidents	11-11
11.2	COORD	INATING LEAD HAZARD CONTROL PROJECTS	11-11
	11.2.1	Lead control projects in conjunction with other work	11-11
	11.2.2	Relocation of occupants	11-11
11.3	QUALI	FICATION AND SELECTION OF CONTRACTORS	11-12
	11.3.1	Licensed or certified contractors	11-12
	11.3.2	Selection procedure based on ASTM Practice E 1864, or other recognized methods	11-12
	11.3.3	Pre-qualification procedures	11-12
	11.3.4	Qualifications and selection of consultants	11-12
	11.3.5	Qualifications and selection of a laboratory	11-13
11.4	SCOPE	OF WORK	11-13
	11.4.1	Performance-based and/or prescriptive-based scopes of work	11-13
	11.4.2	Coordination meetings	11-14
	11.4.5	Methods and materials	11-14
	11.4.4	WORK schedules	11-14
	11.4.5	Clear definition of the work	11-14
	11.4.0	Use of drawings to define work	11-14
	11.4.8	Protection of surfaces and components	11-14
	11.4.0	Defining the performance period and hours available for work	11-14
	11.4.10	Responsibilities of various parties	11-15
	11.4.11	Notifications and permits	11-15
	11.4.12	Replacement materials	11-15
	11.4.13	Inspection of the work	11-15
	11.4.14	Clearance criteria	11-15
	11.4.15	Site security	11-15
11.5	STAND	ARD TREATMENTS OF HUD LEAD BASED PAINT TASK FORCE REPORT	11-15
	11.5.1	Frequency of standard treatment procedures	11-16
	11.5.2	Horizontal surfaces	11-16
	11.5.3	Enhancement of paint integrity	11-16
	11.5.4	Residential soil	11-16
	11.5.5	Specialized cleaning	11-16
11.6	SPECIF	ICATIONS FOR THE WORK	11-16
	11.6.1	Existing guidance documents and standards	11-16
		11.6.1.1 NIBS specifications	11-17
		11.6.1.2 NIBS Operations and Maintenance Manual	11-17
		11.6.1.3 Corps of Engineers specifications	11-17
		11.6.1.4 HUD Guidelines sample specifications	11-17
	11 ()	11.6.1.5 Other guidance (e.g., SSPC)	11-17
	11.0.2	Control measures	11-17
		11.6.2.1 HUD Guidelines	11-17
	1162	Free pulsion and enclosure	11-17
	11.0.3	11631 ASTM standards E1705 and E1707 for solartion of anonymetric and with	81-11
		11.6.3.2 ASTM standard mide E1797 for specific of encapsulation products	11-18
	1164	Plumbing fixtures and solder	11-18
	11.6.5	Renlacement materials	11-18
	11.6.6	Waste disnosal	11-19
	11.0.0	wate appoint	11-19

CHAPTER 12: PERFORMING LEAD HAZARD CONTROL PROJECTS

12.1	LEAD	HAZARD CONTROL PROJECT MANAGEMENT	12-1
	12.1.1	Project schedule and time line	12-1
	12.1.2	Management procedures	12-1
	12.1.3	Trouble shooting and responding to incidents	12-1
12.2	PROJI	ECT QUALITY CONTROL	12-1
	12.2.1	Qualifications of project personnel	12-1
	12.2.2	Review of contractor submittals	12-1
	12.2.3	Quality control inspections	12-2
	12.2.4	Encapsulant testing with ASTM standard guide E 1796	12-2
	12.2.5	Project monitoring	12-2
		12.2.5.1 Air sampling	12-2
		12.2.5.2 Dust sampling	12-3
		12.2.5.3 Soil sampling	12-3
	12.2.6	Project observations	12-3
		12.2.6.1 Using a checklist	12-3
		12.2.6.2 Elements of the checklist	12-3
12.3	WORK	K SITE CLEANING ACTIVITIES	12-3
	12.3.1	Baseline samples for air, dust and soil	12-3
	12.3.2	Pre-cleaning of work site per HUD Guidelines	12-3
	12.3.3	Clean-up during the work	12-4
	12.3.4	Clean-up at conclusion of work	12-5
	12.3.5	Waste characterization	12-6
12.4	CLEAI	RANCE PROCEDURES	12-6
	12.4.1	Establish clearance criteria	12-6
	12.4.2	Visual inspection of the work site	12-6
	12.4.3	Clearance testing protocol for dust	12-6
	12.4.4	Clearance testing protocol for soil	12-7
	12.4.5	Clearance testing protocol for air	12-8
	12.4.6	Special procedures for small projects	12-8
	12.4.7	Post-clearance risk assessments	12-8
	12.4.8	Clearance inspection/testing report	12-8
12.5	LEAD	HAZARD CONTROL PROJECT DOCUMENTATION	12-8
	12.5.1	Written clearance report	12-8
	12.5.2	Statement of Lead-Based Paint Compliance	12-8
	12.5.3	Project monitoring results	12-9
	12.5.4	Waste manifests/statements	12-9
	12.5.5	Other documentation	12-9
12.6	POST I	PROJECT ACTIVITIES	12-9
	12.6.1	Reclassification of the facility	12-9
	12.6.2	Ongoing monitoring and reevaluation	12-9
СНАН	TER 13:	ONGOING MONITORING AND EVALUATION	
13.1	NEED	FOR ONGOING MONITORING AND EVALUATION	13-1
13 .2	FREQU	UENCY OF REEVALUATIONS	13_1
	13.2.1	Using Standard Reevaluation Schedules	13-1

13.3	CONDUCTING THE REEVALUATION		13-2
	13.3.1	Visual inspection by the certified risk assessor	13-2
	13.3.2	Dust sampling	13-2
	13.3.3	Soil sampling	13-2
	13.3.4	Assessing previously encapsulated surfaces	13-2
	13.3.5	Other reevaluation criteria	13-3
13.4	INTER	PRETATION AND REPORTING OF RESULTS	13-3
CHAI	PTER 14:]	REAL ESTATE TRANSACTIONS	
14.1	DEVEL	OPING A STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE FOR REAL ESTATE TRANSACTIONS	14-1
14.2	REGUI	ATORY REQUIREMENTS FOR REAL ESTATE TRANSACTIONS	14-1
14.3	GOOD	PRACTICES REGARDING LEAD HAZARDS AND PROPERTY TRANSFERS	14-6
14.4	RECORDS AND DOCUMENTATION REVIEW		
	14.4.1	When buying a property	14-6
	14.4.2	When selling a property	14-7
	14.4.3	When leasing a property (lessor)	14-7
	14.4.4	When leasing a property (tenant)	14-7
CHAI	TER 15:]	ELEVATED BLOOD LEAD LEVEL INVESTIGATIONS	
15.1	INTRO	DUCTION TO ELEVATED BLOOD LEAD (EBL) LEVEL FINDINGS	15-1
	15.1.1	Blood lead level screening	15-1
	15.1.2	Notification of elevated blood lead level	15-1
15.2	ELEVATED BLOOD LEAD LEVEL INVESTIGATIONS		15-2
	15.2.1	Conduct the investigation	15-2
	15.2.2	Comprehensive interview using the HUD questionnaire	15-3
	15.2.3	Review of risk assessments and other documentation	15-3
	15.2.4	Targeted environmental testing	15-3
	15.2.5	Report of findings	15-3
15.3	OWNER'S RESPONSE TO AN EBL INVESTIGATION		15-3
	15.3.1	Relocation of affected persons	15-4
	15.3.2	Temporary control measures	15-4
	15.3.3	Permanent lead hazard control interventions	15-4
	15.3.4	Clearance testing and re-occupancy	15-4
CHAF	TER 16: 1	RECORDS MANAGEMENT	
16.1	PURPOSE OF RECORD KEEPING		16-1
	16.1.1	Record of decisions made and information decisions based upon	16-1
	16.1.2	Regulatory records retention requirements	16-1
	16.1.3	Legal assistance	16-1
	16.1.4	Records retention and disposal practices	16-1
16.2	RECORDS MAINTENANCE		
	16.2.1	Tenant notifications, including oral communications	16-2
	16.2.2	Maintenance records	16-2
	16.2.3	Lead hazard management plan	1 6- 2

16.2.4	Prioritizing decisions for lead hazard control projects	16-2
16.2.5	Lead hazard evaluation projects	16-2
1 6.2.6	Lead hazard control projects	16-2
16.2.7	Qualifications of personnel and contractors/consultants	16-3
16.2.8	Occupational safety and health records	16-3
16.2.9	Clearance reports	16-3
16.2.10	Waste management records	16-3
16.2.11	Ongoing monitoring and reassessment reports	16-3
16.2.12	Sampling and analytical records	16-3
16.2.13	Elevated blood lead investigations and corrective action records	16-3
16.2.14	Real estate transaction records	16-3

CHAPTER 17: SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PROCEDURES

17.1	SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PLANNING					
	17.1.1	Data collection objectives				
		17.1.1.1 Questions to be answered	17-1			
		17.1.1.2 Level of confidence needed	17-3			
		17.1.1.3 Reporting requirements for data	17-3			
	17.1.2	Sampling and analysis plan	17-4			
		17.1.2.1 Regulatory sampling requirements	17-4			
		17.1.2.2 Selection of valid standard methods	17-4			
		17.1.2.3 Quality control	17-4			
		17.1.2.4 Documentation and chain-of-custody using ASTM Guide D 4840 and Practice E 1864	17-5			
	17.1.3 17.1.4	Selection and evaluation of laboratories using ASTM E 1583 Selection and evaluation of sampling/assessment organizations using ASTM E 1864	17-5 17-5			
17.2	SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL METHODS/PROCEDURES					
	17.2.1	Paint chip sampling and analysis (ASTM E 1729, E 1645, and E 1613)	17-5			
	17.2.2	In field lead analysis (ASTM E 1775)	17-6			
	17.2.3	Chemical spot test kit measurements (ASTM E 1753 and E 1828)	17-6			
	17.2.4	Dust wipe sampling (E 1728, E 1792, and E 1644)	17-6			
	17.2.5	Vacuum dust sampling (ASTM PS 46 and E 1741)	17-7			
	17.2.6 17.2.7 17.2.8	Soil sampling for lead (ASTM E 1727 and E 1726) Water sampling for lead (ASTM D 3559, E 1726 and D 5463) Air sampling for lead (ASTM E 1553, E 1741, OSHA, NIOSH)	17-7 17-7 17-7			
				17.2.9	Waste analyses for EPA 40 CFR 261 determination (ASTM PS 71, HUD Guidelines, Chapter 10)	17 -8
				18	INDEX	

Abbreviations

kg	kilograms
mg/cm ²	milligrams per square centimeter
μg/dl	micrograms per deciliter
μg/ft ²	micrograms per square foot
μg/L	micrograms per liter
μ g/m ³	micrograms per cubic meter
ABIH	American Board of Industrial Hygiene
AIHA	American Industrial Hygiene Association
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
A2LA	American Association for Laboratory Accreditation
BCSP	Board of Certified Safety Professionals
CDC	Center for Disease Control and Prevention
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
СІН	Certified Industrial Hygienist
CoE	The Corps of Engineers
CPSC	Consumer Product Safety Commission
CSP	Certified Safety Professional
DOT	Department of Transportation
EBL	Elevated blood lead level
EIBLL	Environmental intervention blood lead level
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
FAAS	Flame atomic absorption spectrometry
GFAAS	Graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry
GFI	Ground fault interrupter
HEPA	High efficiency particulate air
HUD	Department of Housing and Urban Development
ICP-AES	Inductively coupled plasma – Atomic emission spectrometry
IQ IGO	Intelligence quotient
150	International Standards Organization
	Lead-Dased paint
	Limit of detection
NIRS	National Institute of Duilding Spiences
NIOSH	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
NIIAD	National Lead Laboratory Accreditation Dromom
O&M	Operations & maintenance
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
PEL	Permissible exposure limit
Ph	Chemical symbol for lead
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
SOP	Standard operating procedure
SSPC	Steel Structures Painting Council
TBA	Tenant-based assistance
тс	Toxicity characteristic
TCLP	Toxicity characteristic leaching procedure
TSCA	Toxic Substances Control Act
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
XRF	X-ray fluorescence
	•

THE AUTHORS

ALL AUTHORS are employed by Compass Environmental Inc., an industrial hygiene consulting company based in Marietta, Georgia. Compass provides industrial hygiene, lead, asbestos, and indoor air quality consulting services to commercial and governmental clients.

WILLIAM M. EWING, CIH is the Technical Director of Compass and certified in the Comprehensive Practice of Industrial Hygiene by the ABIH. His experience includes 15 years in consulting and 5 years with the Georgia Tech Research Institute. He has conducted numerous industrial hygiene studies, indoor air quality investigations, and asbestos and lead evaluation and control projects. He has published over 20 articles on related subjects and frequently serves as a peer reviewer for EPA, NIOSH, ASTM, and other organizations.

Mr. Ewing has conducted a variety of lead-related projects. One of his early projects was a study to correlate blood lead values with airborne lead exposures and hygiene practices among brass/bronze foundry and smelter workers. He has directed or participated in many projects involving lead-based paint. Mr. Ewing is also familiar with lead-based paint, dust and soil sampling and analytical techniques. He has conducted such sampling repeatedly and demonstrated proficiency in lead analyses of air, soil and blood samples by atomic absorption, with flame ionization and graphite furnace.

Mr. Ewing is a member of ASTM committees E-6 and D-22. He has served as an instructor in several ASTM-sponsored training courses, and served as the Program Chair for the 1996 ASTM Indoor Air Quality Conference held in Johnson, Vermont.

Mr. Ewing served on the project committees of the National Institute of Building Sciences (NIBS) for the development of the *Guide Specifications for Reducing Lead-Based Paint Hazards*, and the *Lead-Based Paint Operations* & *Maintenance Work Practices Manual for Homes and Buildings* published in 1995. Mr. Ewing co-authored a series of booklets sponsored by the EPA and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) providing guidance for dealing with lead-based paint, asbestos and PCBs in flood damaged buildings.

EVA M. EWING, CIH is a Senior Industrial Hygienist certified by the American Board of Industrial Hygiene (ABIH). She holds bachelor's and master's degrees in the field of Environmental Sciences. Her 20 year's experience includes technical consulting, training and research. She was formerly with the Georgia Tech Research Institute and was Director of The Environmental Institute.

Ms. Ewing has technical expertise in conducting sampling, assessment and abatement of lead in commercial, industrial and residential buildings. She has participated in lead-in-soil sampling and remediation projects. She recently completed a two-year evaluation of lead and arsenic dust in selected single family homes in south Georgia. She is the author of the lead-based paint operations and maintenance program for a large multi-national corporation. This program addresses sampling, analysis, assessment, work practices, training, abatement methods, monitoring and recordkeeping. She also directed the survey and prepared the lead-based paint management program in use aboard the USS *Lexington* aircraft carrier.

Ms. Ewing has directed or participated in numerous projects with the objective being a guidance document, training manual, or handbook. She was a chapter author for each of the four EPA Model Accreditation Training programs for asbestos and the project director for the EPA Model Asbestos Abatement Designers Course notebook. She was presented the EPA Outstanding Achievement Award in recognition of her asbestos contributions. Ms. Ewing routinely publishes articles in peer-reviewed journals and has received twice the Environmental Information Association's Outstanding Publication Award. She has also served on numerous peer review groups for the EPA and other government agencies including one for the EPA "Green Book," *Managing Asbestos in Place: A Building Owner's Guide to Operations and Maintenance Programs for Asbestos-Containing Materials.*

CHRISTOPHER DEPASQUALE, MPH is an Environmental Scientist with Compass Environmental. He holds a bachelor's degree in Biology and a master's degree in Public Health concentrating in Environmental Health and Toxicology, both from the State University of New York in Albany. His professional experience includes technical consulting, training and research.

Mr. DePasquale has been involved in a variety of lead-related projects. He has technical expertise in conducting sampling and assessment of lead in commercial, industrial, and residential buildings, and in soils. He is certified in the state of Georgia as a Lead Inspector and Risk Assessor.

TOD A. DAWSON, ASP holds a degree in Industrial Safety and is an environmental consultant with over 10 years of consulting experience. This includes industrial hygiene surveys, safety audits, and environmental site assessments. His lead-related work has included residential, commercial, governmental, and industrial facilities. He has conducted sampling surveys for paints, dust and soil; developed specifications and contract documents for lead-based paint abatement; and provided oversight of lead-based paint abatement projects.

In one recent project Mr. Dawson evaluated various lead-based paint abatement techniques for steel structures at an Air Force base. This evaluation included personal and area air sampling to determine lead exposures, and one surface sampling to measure the efficacy of cleaning techniques. Mr. Dawson completed a project involving the historic restoration of a county government building. The restoration called for complete renovation of the building impacting virtually all floors, ceilings, walls and architectural components.

Mr. Dawson recently participated in the evaluation of selected south Georgia residences for lead and arsenic dust with Ms. Ewing. This project included dust sampling using both wipe sampling and vacuum sampling to evaluate the dust concentration (mass), and characterized the dust by electron microscopy. He also participated in the lead-based paint evaluation of the USS *Lexington*.