INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this symposium was to explore the geotechnical properties and behavior of waste fill materials and to compile them into one volume that could serve as a reference text on a subject that is not widely addressed in accessible literature.

The symposium was sponsored by ASTM Committee D18, Subcommittee D18.14, Geotechnics of Waste Management. STP 1070 will serve as a guide to Committee D18 members in their future efforts to address the problems of landfill geotechnics, such as stability of slopes, settlement of fills and groundwater (leachate) flow.

The term "waste fill" covers a wide range of materials, from mineral fills contaminated with relatively small amounts of organic or vegetal debris through woodwastes and various types of tailings and slimes, to domestic and industrial refuse. Two categories of fill have purposely been excluded from this symposium: tailings and hazardous wastes. Also, we excluded liners, artificial or natural, from the list of topics. All these three topics have been widely covered in previous conferences, symposia and numerous papers.

Our involvement in the geotechnics of waste management has shown that more geotechnical attention should be paid to such aspects as placing methods, field and laboratory sampling and testing, classification and in-situ improvement methods. These are the topics that we sought to explore at this symposium, and the 23 papers presented here provide a valuable data base for the solution of problems pertaining to those topics.

The symposium was divided into four sections:

Section I - Landfill investigations, design, construction and closure (seven papers)

Section II - Stabilization, compaction and consolidation (six papers)

Section III - Stability and settlement analysis (six papers)

Section IV - Case histories (four papers)

LANDFILL INVESTIGATIONS, DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION

Morris and Woods emphasize the significant changes caused by large settlements after closure of landfills. These changes may negate contouring and drainage plans. Settlements can be predicted, but local regulations may not allow steeper slopes, even if temporary. Case records indicate necessity of perimeter ditches, proper compaction, daily covers, retaining structures for ash fills, and limited size of working areas. A computer program for primary and secondary settlements is given.

Orr and Finch report on case studies of the effects of earthquakes on landfills. Their studies pertain to the October 17, 1989, earthquake in the South Bay area east of Santa Cruz, California. They find that the two most important factors are acceleration and duration rather than the more commonly used magnitude. The properties of refuse may dampen or attenuate the effects of earthquakes.

Lawrence and Boutwell claim that electro-magnetic (EM) surveys have much to offer. They describe a statistical technique they have developed to interpret EM data: a multivariate regression prediction (MVRP). Three cases are described, and it is concluded that the correlation is satisfactory. The MVRP-EM method is most practical when there are time or budget restraints. It is extremely cost-effective for reconnaissance work.

Gifford et al. report on a geotechnical investigation of an Albany, New York, landfill to be used as a building site by the City. The investigation is laid out with due regard to architectural and structural requirements. The foundation layout is designed to minimize settlements or to allow for them. Settlements are predicted on the basis of the nature of the landfill materials and a comparison plot of case records of long-term settlement in landfill.

Sharma et al. discuss various methods of dynamic laboratory and field tests, including applicability. They describe the down-hole geophysical method as used at a landfill site in Richmond, California. The site is underlain by the San Pablo Bay Mud. The down-hole method was chosen because only one boring is required at each location, which makes this method cost-effective. Dynamic shear moduli and Poisson's ratios are reported for refuse and for the Bay Mud.

Huang and Lovell present a very thorough geochemical and geotechnical analysis of several sources of bottom ash (incinerated refuse). This paper constitutes an excellent data base for researchers and users.

Landva and Clark describe a comprehensive field and laboratory investigation of various waste fills in Canada. A classification system is proposed, and index tests and properties are discussed and presented. Also described is equipment developed for the testing of waste fill materials, and geotechnical properties are reported and discussed.

STABILIZATION, COMPACTION AND CONSOLIDATION

Briaud et al. describe a new test (the WAK test) they have introduced to check soil stiffness improvements after dynamic compaction. The WAK test appears to be at a preliminary stage, but it also appears to be a promising test that can be used as a very fast quality control test on dynamic compaction jobs. The authors also present their proposed curve fitting technique and stiffness determination.

Acar et al. present a comprehensive study of boiler slag. They discuss the results of laboratory studies and field compaction tests conducted to evaluate its engineering and field compaction characteristics. This paper represents another valuable data base for the geotechnical behavior of incinerated refuse. Recommendations are given for the optimum design and construction procedures for slag fill.

Davies discusses the reject resulting from the reworking of colliery waste tips and their use in landfill. The mixing of the coarser reject with tailings presents problems for compaction, but these may be alleviated by the addition of cement. The author discusses the properties of the cement-stabilized waste and conclude that the stabilizing effects diminish with increasing effective stress and water content.

Koutsoftas and Kiefer report on a dynamic compaction study of a mine waste spoil. They find significant improvements in geotechnical properties to depths of 9 to 12m after compaction with a 16 tonne weight from 20m height. Most of the improvement occurred during the later phase of treatment. The authors point out that the depth of improvement is limited and that another cost-effective and rapid method of improving waste fills is preloading.

Soliman presents the results of extensive tests on lime fixed flyash and FGD sludge. His conclusions are of considerable interest: the strength of the fixed material increases with time, with density, and with the salinity of the water. Hence the material could be compacted into blocks and dumped in the ocean to create a reef.

Martin et al. report on a study to stabilize acidic hydrocarbon sludge lagoons by microencapsulating it in a matrix of clay, which is neutralized and cemented with a lime-flyash pozzolanic mixture.

STABILITY AND SETTLEMENT ANALYSES

Mitchell et al. draw attention to the potential failure surfaces along lining system interfaces and their possible control of the overall stability of hazardous waste fills. Residual friction angles as low as 5^{o} are reported. They carry out a 3-D stability analysis of a slope failure in a hazardous waste repository and conclude that, even though it is possible to plan filling operations on the basis of adequate factors of safety, this may presently be difficult because of a lack of a suitable 3-D analysis method and because of uncertainties about seismic effects.

Edil et al. outline an analysis approach for the settlements of refuse along the lines of previous analysis methods used for peats and organic soils. They compare their analytical results with actual field measurements and conclude that refuse settlement can be modeled satisfactorily. Another interesting conclusion is that primary compression is largely completed during the filling operation; secondary compression is more evident once filling has stopped.

Singh and Murphy evaluate studies of shear strength properties and settlement characteristics of refuse and discuss the inadequacy of the Mohr-Coulomb theory to account for the large yet non-catastrophic deformations in refuse. They conclude that a slope failure may not be the most critical aspect, but rather settlement of the refuse and bearing capacity of the foundation soil. They draw attention to the lack of knowledge of the dynamic strength characteristics of refuse.

Siegel et al. report on a comprehensive geotechnical investigation and slope stability study of an instrumented landfill in Monterey Park, California. They conclude, among other things, that CPT's are not useful in refuse, other than to identify weak zones, and that direct shear test results should be used with caution, depending on the size of the apparatus. Their tentative calculated factor of safety of 1.2 is subject to further studies in view of the uncertainties in determining refuse strength and the potential for refuse strength to change with time. One important conclusion from an interpretation of the 1987 Whittier Narrows and the 1988 Pasadena earthquakes is that landfill can withstand moderate earthquakes with only minor repairs.

Tieman et al. draw attention to the future needs for piggyback additions to landfills and illustrate some of the benefits of vertical piggybacking. But they also point out that such expansions can be complicated to design and construct. A case record is described where subgrade reinforcement and slope stability improvement were required. Each piggyback expansion will be unique with its own set of design and construction considerations.

Duplancic presents a geotechnical evaluation of deformation monitoring data on a hazardous waste landfill. The data indicate that the landfill is deforming similarly to earthfill dams. Deflections are larger in the fill zone, but almost negligible in rock and native clay zones. The analyses presented show that standard geotechnical techniques can be used to monitor the performance, and standard geotechnical computational methods can be used - with care - for landfill stability analyses and deformation assessment.

CASE HISTORIES

Belfiore et al. present a conventional soil mechanics approach to sludge fill investigations, emphasizing the necessity of adapting and integrating conventional geotechnical tools with the aid of a comprehensive performance monitoring program. The key objective was to study the effects of compaction methods on an improvement of the landfilling operations. On the basis of the results of the two case history studies, they conclude that the high drained strengths measured in the laboratory are confirmed by the long-term behaviour of sludges landfilled with slopes up to 35° without any stability problems. Also their tests and measurements show the beneficial effects of waste compaction, such as significant volume reduction and improvement in strength and deformation properties.

Hinkle describes the use of a 30m deep closed landfill as a marine container storage. He demonstrates that landfill property can be reclaimed and put to profitable use. One important aspect is a proper seal, and the design and construction of this is described in detail.

Oakley studies the use of the cone penetrometer (CPT) in a chemically stabilized waste fill. On the basis of field observations of settlements in two fills, he finds that settlements calculated from CPT data are reasonably close to those measured. Calculated rates of settlement are generally within about $\pm$ 50% of those measured.

Coduto and Huitric monitored settlement and horizontal movements at different depths within a sanitary landfill. They found, following two years of monitoring, that vertical strain rates are independent of depth while horizontal movements on slopes are greatest near the surface and diminish with depth. No permanent displacement occurred during a Richter magnitude 6.1 earthquake.

CLOSURE

A broad spectrum of topics have been addressed by the contributors to this volume. Settlement is analysed in five papers, stability of slopes in two, field and laboratory investigations in seven (demolition landfill, bottom ash, refuse, boiler slag, and lime-fixed flyash and FGD sludge). The effects of earthquakes are outlined in three papers, and field pilot tests (MVRP-EM survey, down-hole geophysical, CPT) in four papers. Stabilization by different methods (cement, dynamic compaction, lime-fixed flyash, clay and lime-flyash pozzolanic mixture, compaction) are described in five papers. Other topics addressed are the inapplicability of the Mohr-Coulomb criterion, the possible non-criticality of slope stability in regular landfills (compressibility of refuse and bearing capacity of the foundation soil are perhaps more important), the uncertainty of the strength characteristics of refuse, precautions required when designing and constructing piggyback additions to landfills, and the importance of designing and constructing a proper seal on a landfill to be used as a building site.

With all these topics addressed by experts in their respective fields, this volume should be a useful handbook for design and construction on and in the very large number of closed landfills in North America and elsewhere.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are pleased to acknowledge ASTM's efficient organization of this symposium and want to thank Kathy Green, Rita Harhut and Monica Armata for their help and patience. Dorothy Savini and Bob Morgan expertly organized the symposium.

We were guided throughout the extensive review process by Dr. Larry Jackson of GTEL Environmental Laboratories, Inc., who offered much help and advice.

We are grateful to the former chairman of D18, Woody Schockley, and to the present chairman, Dick Ladd, for permission to arrange this symposium.

Our secretarial staffs at the University of New Brunswick and at Malcolm Pirnie's assisted ably in the review process.

Arvid Landva
Department of Civil Engineering
University of New Brunswick
Fredericton, New Brunswick
Canada E3B 5A3

G. David Knowles
Malcolm Pirnie Inc
4 Corporate Plaza
Washington Avenue Extension
Albany, NY
USA 12203