FRACTURE TOUGHNESS TESTING AND ITS APPLICATIONS





Published by the

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR TESTING AND MATERIALS
in cooperation with the

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

FRACTURE TOUGHNESS TESTING AND ITS APPLICATIONS

A symposium presented at the
SIXTY-SEVENTH ANNUAL MEETING
AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR TESTING AND MATERIALS
Chicago, Ill., June 21-26, 1964

ASTM Special Technical Publication No. 381





Published by the
AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR TESTING AND MATERIALS
1916 Race St., Philadelphia 3, Pa.
in cooperation with the
NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

© by American Society for Testing and Materials 1965 Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 65-16811

Printed in Baltimore, Md.
April, 1965
Second Printing, May 1970
Third Printing, January 1975
Fourth Printing, October 1981

FOREWORD

The development of various new high-strength alloys and the broadening range of their applications, particularly in aerospace and in cryogenics, has brought about increased emphasis on the study of fracture characteristics. As a result, the technology of testing for fracture toughness and crack propagation has grown rapidly in recent years. So, too, has understanding of how to apply this testing technology to design problems such as selection of materials, heat treatment, welding procedures, structural shape and size, and effects of environment.

This collection of papers constitutes an authoritative and reasonably complete statement of the current procedure and concepts in the field of fracture mechanics. It should thus be of primary value to those concerned with fracture testing and with applications of test data.

This publication is a cooperative effort of the American Society for Testing and Materials and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. It helps to fulfill the obligation of the ASTM to provide the technical community with test methods, and with a sound understanding of their usefulness and their limitations. Through its Special Committee on Fracture Testing of High-Strength Materials (now ASTM Committee E-24 on Fracture Testing of Metallic Materials), ASTM has provided important technical leadership. This volume is the latest in a series of valuable publications on fracture testing and its application sponsored by this committee.

By cooperation with the ASTM, NASA is helping to fulfill its obligation to provide for the widest practicable and appropriate dissemination of results from its activities. Not only have aerospace problems directly furthered activity on fracture mechanics, but NASA scientists and engineers have directly contributed much to this new technology. It is the purpose of this publication to make the information in this important field as widely available as possible.

The Symposium on Fracture Toughness Testing and Its Applications was held at the Sixty-seventh ASTM Annual Meeting, in Chicago, Ill., June 21–26, 1964. It was sponsored by the ASTM Special Committee on Fracture Testing of High-Strength Materials. Chairman of the committee is J. R. Low, General Electric Co. Symposium chairman was W. F. Brown, Jr., National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

The symposium comprised three papers sessions and a panel discussion. Co-chairmen of the first session, on basic aspects of fracture mechanics, were T. J. Dolan, University of Illinois, and Harold Liebowitz, Office of Naval Research. Co-chairmen of the second session, on test methods, were Edward Steigerwald, Thompson Ramo Wooldridge, and Z. P. Saperstein, Douglas Aircraft Co. Co-chairmen of the third session, on practical applications, were B. M. Wundt, General Electric Co., and C. M. Carman, U. S. Army Ordnance. Mr. Brown was chairman of the panel discussion, and the other panelists were V. Weiss, S. Yukawa, P. Paris, J. E. Srawley, C. F. Tiffany, G. R. Irwin, T. J. Dolan, J. A. Kies, and W. F. Payne.

Note—The Society is not responsible, as a body, for the statements and opinions advanced in this publication.

CONTENTS

1	PAGE
Basic Aspects of Fracture Mechanics	
Critical Appraisal of Fracture Mechanics-V. Weiss and S. Yukawa	1
Historical Review	2
The Surface-Energy-Plastic Work Analogy	4
Interpretation of Fracture Toughness	9
Plasticity Analysis and Effects	13
Inhomogeneities, Scatter, and Size Effects	15
Outlook	18
Discussion	23
Stress Analysis of Cracks—Paul C. Paris and George C. M. Sih	30
Crack-Tip Stress Fields for Isotropic Elastic Bodies.	31
Elementary Dimensional Considerations for Determination of Stress-Intensity	
Factors	
Stress-Intensity Factors from Westergaard Stress Functions	34
Stress-Intensity Factors from General Complex Stress Functions	36
Stress-Intensity Factors for Some Three-Dimensional Cases	38
Edge Cracks in Semi-infinite Bodies.	39
Two-Dimensional Problems of Plate Strips with Transverse Cracks	40
Reinforced Plane Sheets	44
Thermal Stresses	45
Stress-Intensity Factors for the Bending of Plates and Shells	45
Couple-Stress Problems with Cracks	48
Estimation of Stress-Intensity Factors for Some Cases of Practical Interest	48
Stress Fields and Intensity Factors for Homogeneous Anisotropic Media	52
Cracks in Linear Viscoelastic Media	56
Some Special Cases of Nonhomogeneous Media with Cracks	57
Inertial Effects on the Stress Field of a Moving Crack	
Energy-Rate Analysis of Crack Extension	58
The Equivalence of Energy-Rate and Stress-Intensity Factor Approaches	59
Other Equivalent Methods of Stress Analysis of Cracks and Notches	61
Limitations of the Crack-Tip Stress Field Analysis	
Appendix I—The Westergaard Method of Stress Analysis of Cracks	
Appendix II-A Handbook of Basic Solutions for Stress-Intensity Factors and	
Other Formulas	66
Appendix III—Notation	76
Discussion	82
Plasticity Aspects of Fracture Mechanics—F. A. McClintock and G. R. Irwin	
Kinds of Elastic and Plastic Stress and Strain Fields	85
Longitudinal (or Parallel) Shear, Mode III	91
Initial Strain Distribution	92
General Aspects of Stable and Unstable Crack Extension	93
Loading Without Crack Growth	
Fracture Criteria	94
Initiation of Crack Extension	
Crack Growth and Instability	98
Empirical Trend of High-Stress Level K. Results	102
Crack-Opening Considerations	103
Empirical Representation of Crack-Fixtension Observations	106

vi Contents

	PAGE
Conclusions	109
Appendix—Summary of Relationships Between Linear-Elastic and Plasticity View-	
points	110
Running Cracks	114
Crack Border Instability in K_{ϵ} Testing	115
Track Border Instability in A. Testing	117
Instability at a Plane-Strain Crack Border	118
Influence of Temperature and Loading Rate upon K_{te} Values	118
Innuence of Temperature and Loading Rate upon Ate values	110
Initiation $K_{\text{Ie}(T)}$ in a Mild Steel	127
Adiabatic Heating	
Initiation $K_{1e(T)}$ in 6Al-4V Titanium Alloy	122
Comparison with Precracked Charpy	123
Innuence of Flow Strength Speed Versus Temperature Sensitivity	123
Equivalence of Loading Rate to Crack Speed	123
Velocity Prior to Crack Arrest Crack-Arrest Measurements	120
Summary	
Discussion	
Test Methods	8
rest methods	
Fracture Toughness Testing-W. F. Brown, Jr., and J. E. Srawley	133
General Considerations	
Quasi-Two-Dimensional Prototype Specimen	
Criterion of Fracture Instability	138
Crack Extension Resistance and Occurrence of Instability	138
Actual Cracks in Specimens of Finite Thickness	143
Dependence of Ge and Fracture Appearance on Thickness	144
Gt. Measurement at Meta-instability or "Pop-in"	147
Practical Specimen Types	
Symmetrical Plate Specimens for General Ge Measurement	
Effective Crack Length and Plastic Zone Correction Term	152
G. Measurement Capacity in Relation to Specimen Size	153
Variation of Ge with Crack Length and Specimen Width	155
Thickness of Symmetrical Plate Specimens	158
Plastic Zone Correction Term; G _{Ie} and K _{Ie} Calculations	
Specimens Suitable for G _{Io} Measurement Only	
Single-Edge-Notched Tension Specimens	160
Notched Bend Specimens	164
Cracked Charpy Specimens	166
Surface-Cracked Plate Specimens	167
Circumferentially Notched Round Bars	168
Summary Comparison of Specimens for Gree Measurement	171
Instrumentation and Procedure	173
Cinematography	174
Electrical Potential Measurement	175
Testing Procedure	175
Reduction of Data	177
Advantages and Limitations of Potential Method	180
Displacement Gages	180
Gage Types and Testing Procedure	181
Reduction of Data	184
Advantages and Limitations of Displacement Gages	185
Sensitivity of Displacement Gages	185

Contents vii

PA	AGE
Acoustic Method	186
Examples of Data	
Advantages and Limitations of Acoustic Method	187
Continuity Gages	188
Appendix—Practical Fracture Toughness Specimens; Details of Preparation, Test-	
ing, and Reporting Data	188
Specimen Machining	189
Fatigue Cracking and Heat Treatment	
Testing Procedure	
Data Reporting	
Discussion	196
Evaluation of Proposed Recommended Practice for Sharp-Notch Tension Testing—R.	100
H. Heyer Test Specimens	
Procedure	
Evaluation Tests	
Summary	
Discussion	
Electron Fractography—A Tool for the Study of Micromechanisms of Fracturing	200
Processes—C. D. Beachem and R. M. N. Pelloux	210
Uses of Electron Fractography	211
Fracture Mechanisms Studied by Electron Fractography	215
Cleavage	
Quasi-cleavage	
Coalescence of Micro-voids	
Intergranular Separation	
Fatigue	
Failure Analysis	
Summary	
Discussion	243
Practical Applications	
Applied Fracture Mechanics—C. F. Tiffany and J. N. Masters	249
The Selection of a Fracture-Toughness Specimen	252
The Application of Fracture Mechanics	
The Prediction of Critical Flaw Sizes and Their Role in Material Selection	259
The Estimation of the Life of Pressure Vessels Subjected to Cyclic and Sustained	
Stresses	
The Determination of Nondestructive Inspection Acceptance Limits	
Conclusions	
Discussion	
Fracture Toughness Testing in Alloy Development—R. P. Wei	
Selection of Fracture Toughness Parameter and Test Methods Fracture Testing in Alloy Development	
Relationships Between Microstructure and Toughness in Quenched and Tem-	202
pered Low-Alloy Ultrahigh-Strength Steels	282
Effect of Sulfur on Fracture Toughness of AISI 4345 Steels	285
Fracture Toughness Anisotropy in a Maraging Steel	
Summary	
Fracture Toughness Testing at Alcoa Research Laboratories-J. G. Kaufman and H.	
Y. Hunsicker	290
	290
Sharp-Notch Tension Testing	294
COLLEGATION DECACEN TEST TESTS AND LINGUISE TORRUNGS TESTS	477

viii Contents

F	PAGE
Alloy Development	299
Strain-Hardening Alloys	300
Precipitation-Hardening Alloys.	
High-Strength Aluminum-Zinc-Magnesium-Copper Alloys	303
Alloys for Cryogenic Applications	
Summary	
Discussion	200
The Application of Fracture Toughness Testing to the Development of a Family of	309
Alloy Steels—J. S. Pascover, M. Hill, and S. J. Matas	310
Test Methods	311
Anticipated Use of Data	
Selection Criteria	
Application of Selection Criteria	311
Testing of Sheet Materials at Ultrahigh-Strength Levels	311
Testing of Tough Materials	314
Specific Examples of the Use of Fracture Mechanics in Alloy and Process Devel-	
opment	315
Study of Thermal Treatments on Strength and Toughness of HP 9-4-45 Steel	316
The Effects of Anisotropy	318
Welding Studies	321
Summary and Conclusions	
Appendix—Cost of Various Types of Specimens	324
Discussion	326
Fracture Testing of Weldments—J. A. Kies, H. L. Smith, H. E. Romine, and H.	020
Bernstein	378
The Bend Specimen and Testing Fixtures	320
Formulas and Calibration	222
Demonstration of Linearity Between K _{Ie} and Nominal Fiber Stress	226
Limitations on Specimen Size and Notch Depth	
Comparison of Plane-Strain Fracture Toughness by the Slow Bend Test and by the	227
Single-Edge-Notch Test	331
Material and Kie Test Results for 3-in. Thick Plate of 18 Per Cent Marag-	
ing Steel	
Tungsten Inert Gas Welds	
Metal Inert Gas Welds	350
Summary of the Test Results	
Conclusions	350
Appendix—Failure Analysis Example—Weld Flaw	351
Discussion	353
Incorporation of Fracture Information in Specifications-W. F. Payne	357
Specimen Selection	357
The Use of Subsize Specimens	359
Toughness Variations in Commercial Mill Products	360
Effect of Flaw Geometry and Multiple Flaw Interactions	365
Quantitative Inspection Limits	366
Conclusions	
Appendix I-Comparison of Critical Crack-Size Determination with Gross- and	
Net-Stress Criteria for Surface-Cracked Specimen	368
Appendix II—Calculation of Equivalent Crack Size for Various Crack Geometries	
and Interaction of Multiple Cracks	370
Discussion	
Panel Discussion	

FRACTURE TOUGHNESS TESTING AND ITS APPLICATION

INTRODUCTION

By W. F. Brown, Jr.1

The phenomenon of structural failure by catastrophic crack propagation at average stresses well below the yield strength has been known for many years. Rashes of such brittle failures have occurred with increasing frequency as the strength and size of our engineering structures have increased. In the past, each series of failures has given rise to a set of empirical tests and procedures that sometimes provided a solution to the specific problem at hand but did not result in a generally useful approach that would permit avoiding future failures.

Recent military and aerospace requirements for very-high-strength, lightweight hardware have given added importance to the problem of brittle fracture and greatly emphasized the need for a quantitative approach to the general problem of crack tolerance in structures. This need was dramatically highlighted several years ago by the repeated failures of early Polaris rocket motor cases at stresses well below the design value. The ASTM Special Committee on Fracture Testing of High Strength Materials was formed at the request of the Office of the Secretary of Defense to assist in providing a solution to this and related problems.

Over a period of the last five years this committee has been concerned with the question of how to evaluate the strength of metals in the presence of cracks or crack-like defects. The goal has been to provide laboratory tests and analytical techniques which will permit a quantitative measure of crack tolerance useful not only in evaluating materials for a given application but also in development of rational procedure for design against fracture. To achieve this goal requires the development of an essentially new branch of engineering science, and this, of course, is an evolutionary process which will take considerable time to complete. However, with the Irwin linear elastic fracture mechanics as a basis, considerable progess has been made in the desired direction, and today there are available reliable if somewhat overconservative procedures for avoiding failure by fracture in a new structure.

The primary purpose of this symposium was to review the methods for fracture toughness testing as proposed by the ASTM Special Committee on Fracture Testing of High Strength Materials, with a view toward defining their limitations and the extent to which they can be applied in structural design and alloy development. With this in mind the authors were asked to direct attention more toward clarification of concepts and procedures rather than toward presentation of new information. In order to further assist in this review function, the last session of the symposium consisted of a panel discussion

¹ Chairman of the symposium committee, NASA-Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio.

which gave those concerned with fracture testing an opportunity to put questions to a group of persons who have been active in the work of the ASTM Fracture Testing Committee.

There are, of course, many fracture test methods other than those discussed in this volume. Some of these often provide useful information regarding the fracture behavior of metallic materials. The pre-cracked Charpy impact test is a recent example of such a test which is easy to perform and uses only small specimens. Some efforts have been made

to demonstrate a correlation between the results of pre-cracked Charpy tests and fracture toughness tests on larger specimens. A paper by G. M. Orner and C. E. Hartbower on this topic was presented at the symposium meeting, but because of space limitations does not appear in this volume. However, the reader should note that the panel discussion contains a considerable amount of information regarding the use of the pre-cracked Charpy test and references to investigations in this area.