. Significant difference between labs at the 99.95% confidence level

APPENDIX III

Work Hardening Exponent n

IDDRG Cooperative Program - Two Factor Nested Experiment (unbalanced) Longitudinal Tests by up to 7 Laboratories of 22 Materials

M - Materials

L - Laboratories within materials

R - Residual - Within laboratory and within cojl variation

The Analysis of Variance is approximate only and assumes Random Model II

ANOVA										
Code	Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Sum of Squares	Mean Squares	Expected Mean Squares					
M	Between materials	21	0.051432	0.002449	σ^{2} + 2.43 σ_{L}^{a} + 15.48 σ_{M}^{a}					
L	Between labs within materials	100	0.016882	0.000169	$\sigma^{2} + 1.06 \sigma_{L}^{2}$					
R	Residua I	221	0.006268	0.000028	o ^a					
	Total	342	0.074582							

F test
$$F_1 = 5.95 > F(100, 221, 0.0005) =$$

$$F_M = 14.51 > F(21,100, 0.0005) = 2.75$$
 . Significant difference between
materials at the 99.95% confidence
level

Conclusions:

69.0% of the observed total variation in n is explained by differences between materials at the 99.99% confidence level.

1.74

22.6% by differences between laboratories at the 99.95% confidence level.

8.4% by test error and variation within coils.

TABLE OF AVERAGES										
Supplier	Material									
	la	16	1c	2	3	4				
A B	0.225 0.234			0,211	0.226	0.213 0.196				
с D _v	0.232 0.227	0.223	0.232	0.223 0.212	0.210 0.225	0.203 0.197				
DZ	0.231	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0.200	0.228	0.220				