# Subject Index

A	Chemical emergencies
Access to Exposure and Medical Records, standard, 79  American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH), 18, 23	data bases, bibliographic, 182–183(table) data bases, factual, 180–181(table) information retrieval, 177–179 Chemical exposure data bank, 208 Chemical hazard information, 20–23, 172, 177, 199–205
Bibliographic data bases (See Data bases) Bolt Beranek and Newman chemical hazards, information activities, 177(table) Buildings, labeling requirements: discussion, 150–152	chemical emergencies, response to 179 disclosure, panel discussion on, 61 growth of public consciousness, 2 toxicity testing, 169–170 Chemical labeling rules, 76 Chemical manufacturers/importers distributors labeling standards, 43–45 MSDS, performance standards, 45–47
C	sample forms, 48-51 panel discussion, 55-71 Chemical Manufacturers Association
Canada, proposed system for hazard	(CMA), 33
communication, 124–130 Canadian Association of Administrators of Labour Legislation	Chemical manufacturer/importer, 17 Chemical mixtures, 200 Chemical substance information net-
(CAALL) to develop information system, 124–	work (CSIN), 176–198 Chemicals, toxicity testing of, 169–170
125 Carcinogens determination of, 17, 23	Clean Air and Water Laws, 76 Code of federal regulations (CFR) 1910.1000, Subpart Z, list
by American Conference of Gov- ernmental Industrial Hygien- ists (ACGIH), 23	of carcinogens, 23 Collective bargaining right-to-know laws, effect of, 148-
by CFR 1910.1000 Subpart Z, 23 Celanese Corporation	149 Community right to know (See Right
chemical risk assessment, 170	to know Hazard communi

cation standards)

sample forms, 172-174

New

Jersey, 121(illus.)

Compliance	aided by inventory of toxics, 119
responsibility of employer, 85	Emergency Services Information Sur-
Compliance, deadline dates, 33	vey, New Jersey, (ESI)
Compliance issues, panel discussion,	for emergency response personnel,
55–71	119
Confidentiality agreements	Employee information and training,
employee access to trade secrets	16–19, 27
legal issues, 140	chemical industry perspective, 75-
Consumer Product Safety Act, 23	88
Consumer Product Safety Commis-	effect on employee relations, 148
sion, 200	New York State, 109-117
Contractor training, 36–37	nonroutine tasks, 35
Contractors, compliance	regulatory action, 75, 109-110
required to train employees, 36	trade secrets, access to, 139
CSIN (Chemical substance information	training programs, discussion, 32-
network), 176–198	38, 101–102
,,	Employers, hazard training responsi-
ъ	bilities
D	compliance, 85-86
Data bases	hazard determinations by manufac-
bibliographic, 170(table), 175-178,	turer/importer, 24
206–211	New York State, 114-115
emergency information retrieval,	training program development, dis-
179–186	cussion and examples,
nonbibliographic, 171(table)	34(table), 35–38
Data communication, 200	use of protective equipment, 35-36
Data sources, for toxicity, 170, 207-	written communication program, 17,
208	36
Deadline dates for compliance, 33	Enforcement guidelines, discussion, 55-
Department of Transportation	58
hazard communications regulations,	Environmental Protection Agency
2-8	hazard communications regulations,
Disclosure data, 199-205 (See also	2–8
MSDS)	training programs, 32-39
Dose/response data, 200	compliance, 37–38(appendix)
Downshift liability (See Liability)	Environmental Survey, New Jersey, 119–120
117	Exposure limits, to hazardous sub-
E	stances, 18
Emergency information retrieval, 176-	
187	F
Emergency procedures, 35	<b>A</b> :
No. 1 110 100 100	T'

118-123, 120- Fire departments, right to know, 153-

154

Food and Drug Administration	preemption (state versus federal),
hazard communications regulations,	140–145
2-8	need to know, 200
Freedom of information (See Right to	purpose, 32
know)	regulatory action, 75
Full disclosure (See Disclosure)	right-to-know requirements, 80(table)
	right to know, New Jersey, 118- 123
Н	right to know, New York State, 113(table)
Handbook of chemical industry label-	workplaces, 20–22, 25, 28, 103–
ing (ref.), 45	104
Hazard communication, 14, 16-17,	summary, 212–223
76, 172, 175–177, 188, 212	Hazard determination, 16-17, 133, 177,
(See also OSHA Hazard	212
Communication standard)	legal issues, 132–134
emergencies, 175-177, 178(table)	manufacturers' responsibility for, 132
information, 199-205, 212	New York State, 113-114
legal issues, 131–149, 199–205	procedures, 24-25, 134
Canada, proposed system, 124-130	Hazard identification
need to know, 200	discussion, 68-70, 212-215
New Jersey Worker and Community	standard, 15
Right-to-Know Act, 118–123	3M company compliance plan, 94-
list of covered employers, 122-	95
123(table)	Hazard information, 1–8, 171–172,
3M company compliance plan, 89–	176–177, 178(table), 188 (See
106	also Hazard communication)
training and education: discussion,	HAZARDLINE (See CSIN)
160–162	Hazardous and toxic substances, 32–38
Hazard communication standards, 15,	carcinogens, 111
20–22, 29–30, 32–38, 76–	chemicals, employee exposure, 14
77, 142	emergency information sources, 175–
addendum, 31	178
criticism, 77–78	information sources, 170–172, 176–
federal versus state hazard commu-	177, 188–192
nication, 75, 140-144	labels and labeling requirements, 16-
legal issues, 131–149, 199–205	18, 22–24, 39–54, 84
AFL-CIO challenges claimed pro-	Canada, 124–125
tections, 20-22, 131-132	New Jersey right-to-know law, 118-
Court of Appeals finds for AFL-	123
CIO, 31	sample toxicity evaluation form,
New Jersey litigation, 144	174(illus)

100

standards for communication, 15examples, 42(illus), 44(illus), 99 exemptions, 41 for buildings: discussion, 150-155 state law, substance lists, 78(table) Handbook of chemical industry lasummary outline form, sample, 173(illus) beling, 45 laboratories, applications to, 41-43 3M company compliance plan, 89-New Jersey, 120 106 Hazardous Materials Identification of hazardous substances, 16-18, 22-24, 39-54, 84 System, 43 Hazardous substances data bank, 208 summary, 212-223 (See also CSIN, Data bases) 3M company compliance plan, 89-Hazardous wastes, EPA regulations, 106 Language, performance, 33 76 use of symbols, 36 Health hazards, OSHA standard, 23 Legal issues, 20-22, 31, 131-149 HMIS (See Hazardous Materials Iden-Liability, 147-148, 199-205; discustification System) sion, 206-211 Longshoremen's Act of 1969 I hazard communications regulations, Industrial training, 32-38 Information M chemical industry perspective, 75-Manufacturer/Importer communication of, 1, 200 must evaluate chemicals for hazards, disclosure, 200 24 omission of, 207 Manufacturing employer retrieval, 208 3M company compliance plan, 90 Information networks and resources OSHA standards challenged in court discussion, 206-211 by AFL-CIO, 20-21 toxicology, 169, 177-178 limited to SIC codes, 20-21 International Agency for Research on Maritime industry, hazard communi-Cancer (IARC), 17, 23, 111 cation, 1 International implications Material identification, 18, 37-38 Material properties, 18, 37-38, 45-47 Material safety data sheets (MSDS), . L 16, 40, 84, 154-160, 199-Labels and labeling, 208–209 Canada, 124-126; discussion, 156alternatives, 43 ANSI Z129.1-1982, 45 159 Canada, 124-125 contents, 18, 24, 26-27, 37-38(appendix), 45-47, 212chemicals, 14, 22, 45(ref.) enforcement guidelines, 55-60, 99-223

disclosure data inadequate, 202-204

discussion, 206, 216-217 enforcement guidelines, discussion, 59-62, 64, 67-68 information resources, 196. 197(table) sample forms, 48-54 3M Company compliance plan, 90, 92 - 95Micro-CSIN (See CSIN) MSDS, 16, 18, 24 (See also Material

## N National Institutes of Safety and Health

Safety Data Sheets)

(NIOSH), hazard regulations, 2-8National Paint and Coatings Association Hazardous Materials ID System (HMIS), 43, 44(illus) National Toxicology Program (NTP), 17, 23, 78, 111

Need to know, 2-8, 200, 212-223 Negligence, 203, 210

New Jersey, Worker and Community Right-to-Know Act, 118-123

New York state, right-to-know laws, 109-117

NIOSH (See National Institutes of Safety and Health)

NLM/TOXNET (See CSIN)

Nonroutine tasks, employee training, 35

#### O

Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970, 1, 76 Occupational Safety and Health Administration Hazard Communication standard, 33,

40, (See also Hazard communication programs)

legal issues, 131–149, 199–205 preemption (state versus federal), 141-145

OSHA field operations manual enforcement guidelines, discussion, 55

#### Ohmtads

Oil and hazardous material technical data system (See CSIN)

Omission, of information on MSDS, 207

Organization Resource Counselors (ORC), 33

OSHA standards

employee training program, 32–38 Hazard Communication standard, 22-23, 40

labels, 39-54

permissible exposure limit on MSDS,

### P

Performance language, 33-36 for training, discussion, 63-65 use of symbols, 36, 65 Permissible exposure limit (PEL), 18 panel discussion, 57 Physical and chemical health hazards covered by OSHA standards, 23 regulatory and compliance issues panel discussion, 58-62 Preemption (state versus federal), 140-

142, 199–205, 218, 220– 221

Proprietary business information, 86, 209, 218-219 (See also Trade secrets)

Protective information on MSDS, 18

Public health protection, New Jersey, 119

R	local and state governments, 14, 20,
Record keeping, 36–38 Registry of Toxic Effects of Chemical Substances (RTECS), 78 Regulating agencies, federal of hazard communications, 2–8 Department of Transportation (DOT) Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Food and Drug Administration (FDA) National Institutes for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA)	40, 76–77, 81(table), 90  New Jersey, 119–120; discussion, 150  litigation, 144–147  New York State, 109–117  preemption, 201  challenged by states, 140–141  state hazardous substance lists, 78(table)  3M company compliance plan, 91  trade secret claims, 84  Risk assessment, 169  RTECS (See Registry of Toxic Effects of Chemical Substances)
Regulatory and compliance issues, 20–21, 40	S
chemical industry perspectives, 75–88 environmental, 76 hazardous wastes, 76 legal issues, 131–149 panel discussion, 55–71 3M Company compliance plan, 91 New Jersey, 119–120 workplaces, 76 Right to know, 200 versus need to know, summary, 212–223	Source data bases, 169 (See also Data bases) Standards, 20–31 training, compliance, 32–38 State and local laws, 20–21, 40, 76–77 hazardous substance lists, 78(table) Strict liability (See Liability) Symbols, use of (See Performance language)
Right-to-know laws, 2–8, 80(table), 82–83, 100 (See also Hazard communication)  Canada, discussion, 156–158 cost effect, 83, 110–111 effects on industry, 83–84, 99, 110 federal standard published, 201 fire departments: discussion, 153 information resources for hazardous substances, 176–198	T Testing, of chemical substances, 200 3M hazard communication program, 89– 106 Threshold limit value (LTV), 18, 23 discussion, 57 3M Company compliance plan, 91 Toxic materials, exposure limits, 2, 23

legal issues, 131-149; discussion, Toxic Substances Control Act of 1976,

150

76, 200

TOXCHEM (Chemical data script) (See CSIN) Toxicity data and information Celanese Corporation, 170 communication of, 169, 172, 200 hazards of, 169-170 Trade secrets, 16, 19, 79, 84-87 Canada, 127, 218-219 legal issues, 131-149, 200-201 confidentiality agreements, 139employee access, 41, 139 New Jersey litigation, 142-147 New York State right-to-know law, 115 restrictions and exemptions, 60, 70 Training and education compliance, performance-oriented, cost estimates, 79 discussion, 160-161 evaluation, 36-38 labels and MSDS, 39-54

discussion, 98-106

manual, discussion, 62–63
New York State, right to know, 113–
114
requirements, 32–38
3M Company compliance program,
92, 94–97
TSCA (See Toxic substances control

W
Worker training and information, 14–
17, 27
Canada, 125–128
New York State, 109–117
summary, 212–223
Workplace Hazardous Materials Information System (WHMIS),
Canada, 125–130
Workplace right to know, 2–8, 101–
102 (See also Right-to-know laws)
state and local regulations, 20–21,
76–77, 110, 150