Subject Index

Α	sample shipment, 28	E
Alternative hypothesis, 48	sampling equipment	EPA QA/G-4, 2, 6, 34
defining, 50	decontamination, 26	see also Decision errors
Ancillary tank equipment, definition, 25	selection, 18-19	EPA QA/G-9, 33-36, 60, 62-63
Assessment phase, waste pile example, 60	sampling waste units, 25	EPA SW-846, 25, 35–36, 56–57
ASTM D 1452, 20	site entry and reconnaissance, 16-17	_
ASTM D 1586, 19	waste pile example, 57-60	F
ASTM D 1587, 20 ASTM D 4387, 19	Data life cycle, Data Quality Assessment,	False positive and negative, 48, 50 55 Federal Register 26990, 25
ASTM D 4367, 19 ASTM D 4448, 19–20	33 Data Ovality Assessment 33	Field documentation, 28–31
ASTM D 4700, 19–20	Data Quality Assessment, 33 conclusions and reports, 36	Field documentation, 28–31 Field records, 28–29
ASTM D 4823, 20	data life cycle, 33	Field screening, 20
ASTM D 5013, 20	data preparation for statistical analysis,	
ASTM D 5358, 20	34	H
ASTM D 5495, 20	overview, 33	Histogram, 62
ASTM D 5956, 24	preliminary data analysis, 34-35	Hypothesis tests, 43
ASTM D 6009, 55	review DQOs and sampling design,	
ASTM D 6051, 23, 60	33–34	I
ASTM D 6232, 18	statistical assumption check, 34-35	Implementation phase, see Data
	statistical testing, 35-36	collection
В	Data Quality Objectives, 1-4	Investigation derived waste, 26–28
Boundaries, 5	boundaries, defining, 5	3.6
defining, 57	composite sampling, 9	M Minimum detectable difference, 51
Box and whiskers plot, 62–63 40 CFR 260.10. 25	data collection and design	Mobilization, data collection, 17–18
40 CFR 261.24, 6, 56	optimization, 6–9 decision errors, specifying limits on, 6	Widomzation, data conection, 17–18
10 011(201.21, 0, 30	decision rule development, 5–6	n.T
C	defining, 2	N Null hypothesis, 36, 48
Chain of custody form, 29–30	identifying decisions, 3–4	choosing, 48–50
Chain-of-custody procedures, samples,	identifying inputs to decisions, 4	defining, 50
29–31	impact of process on project, 2	g, 0 t
Coefficient of variation, 62, 64	process and overall decision process, 3	0
Comprehensive Liability Act of 1980, 29	review, 33–34	Optimal allocation, 8–9
Confidence intervals, 36, 43	waste pile example, 60	Outliers, assessing, 35
Container, definition, 25	sample size	outhers, assessing, 35
Contamination problem, description, 3	estimating requirements, 8	n
	post-study assessment, 8	P Particle size reduction, 18, 25
D	sampling designs, see Sampling designs	Performance evaluation, 32
Data	stating the problem, 3–4	Personnel, decontamination, 26
checking for normality, 34	waste pile example, 55–57	Personnel protective equipment, 26
preparing for statistical analysis, 34 transformations in statistical tests.	DataQUEST, 33–35	Planning phase, 2-15
35–36	Decision errors	see also Data Quality Objectives
Data assessment phase, 33–37	false positive and negative, 48, 50	Population sampling, difficulties, 1
Data collection, 16–29	identifying, 48–50	Proportional allocation, 8–9
chain-of-custody procedures for	parameter values where consequences	
samples, 29–31	are minor, 51	Q
composite sampling, 20, 23	potential consequences, 50	Quality Assurance Project Plan, 7
field records and sample identification,	specifying tolerable limits, 6, 47–52	sample locations, 19
28	background, 47–48	Quantile-quantile probability plot, 35, 63
field screening, 20	determining possible range of parameter of interest, 48	~
heterogeneous waste, 23 investigation derived waste, 26–28	expected outputs, 47	R
laboratory coordination, 16	waste pile example, 57	Reagents, used during decontamination, 25–26
mobilization, 17–18	tolerable probability, 51	Receipt for samples form, 29, 31
particle size reduction, 25	Decision rules, 5–6	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
personnel decontamination, 26	developing, 57	of 1976, 29
sample location selection, 19	Disposable equipment, 26	waste characterization, 23

S	waste pile example, 59–60	Technical system audits, 32
Samples	without compositing, waste pile	Toxicity Characteristic Leaching
chain-of-custody procedures, 29-31	example, 65–66	Procedure, 25, 55–56
estimating number required, 8	waste pile example, 57–58	versus total results, waste pile example,
waste pile example, 58	Sampling equipment, decontamination,	62–63
identification, 28–29	25–26	Toxicity Characteristic Rule, 55-56
post-study assessment of number, 8	Shapiro-Wilk test for normality, 34, 63, 65	
shipping, 28	coefficients of a ⁱ , 70–71	U
Sampling designs, 9-14	quantiles of t distribution, 73	Upper confidence limit, 63-67
authoritative, 9, 14	quantiles of W test, 72	
waste pile example, 57-64	Site	W
composite, 9, 14	components, 18	Walk-through, 18
data collection, 20, 23-24	entry, 16	Waste
not meeting Data Quality Objectives,	reconnaissance, 16-17	heterogeneous, data collection, 24
60	work zones, 17	investigation derived, 26–28
probabilistic, 14	Statistical analysis, preparing data for, 34	Waste pile, 24
probability, 13	Statistical outlier, 35	example, 55–68
review, 33-34	Statistical quantities, 34	authoritative sampling design, 57–64
selection, 10–12	Statistical tests	cost of sampling, 59–60
simple random, 8, 14	data transformations, 35–36	data collection, 57-60
waste pile example, 58–59, 60	selecting and preforming, 35–36	Data Quality Objectives, 55–57
stratified, 8-9, 14	Sump, definition, 25	implementation phase, 60
waste pile example, 67–68	Superfund, 29	inputs to decision, 56-57
stratified systematic, waste pile	Support zone, 18	non-normal data distribution, 64–65
example, 59–60	Surveillance, 32	topographic base map, 55–56
systematic, 8, 14		Waste units
systematic grid	_ T	containerized, 25
with compositing, waste pile	Tank, definition, 25	sampling, 23
example, 66–67	Technical assessments, 29, 32	uncontainerized, 25