Geotechnical Testing Journal Table of Contents Volume 17, 1994

No. 1, March

In-Plane Flow of Four Geosynthetics for Landfill Drainage—ROBERT P. CAMPBELL AND JONATHAN T. H. WU	3
Torsional Motion Monitoring System for Small-Strain (10 ⁻⁵ to 10 ⁻³ %) Soil Testing—DONG-SOO KIM AND	
KENNETH H. STOKOE II	17
Shear Strength of Very Soft Clay-Sand Mixtures—THIAM-SOON TAN, TEIK-CHEONG GOH, G. P. KARUNARATNE, AND	
SENG-LIP LEE	27
A Critical Evaluation of the Gradient Ratio Test—R. JONATHAN FANNIN, YOGINDER P. VAID, AND YUCHENG SHI	35
The Effects of Particle Shape and Surface Roughness on the Hydraulic Mean Radius of a Porous Medium Consisting	
of Quartied Rock—Gary C. W. Sabin and david hansen	43
An Approximate Method for Estimating the Consolidation Behavior of Soft Sensitive Clays—R. C. JOSHI, GOPAL ACHARI,	
AND FRED J. GRIFFITHS	50
Water Content Relationships of a Sensitive Clay Subjected to Cycles of Capillary Pressures—VINCENT SILVESTRI	57
Strain Measurement in HDPE Geomembrane Tensile Tests—J. P. GIROUD, MATT MONROE, AND RICHARD CHARRON	65
A Method for Interpretation of Plate Load Test Results—YAKOV M. REZNIK	72
Measurements of Elastic Properties of Geomaterials in Laboratory Compression Tests—FUMIO TATSUOKA,	
TAKESHI SATO, CHOON-SIK PARK, YOU-SEONG KIM, JOHN N. MUKABI, AND YUKIHIRO KOHATA	80
Technical Note: An Image Analysis Method for Studying Movements in Granular and Solid Bodies—	00
LENNART GUSTAFSSON AND SVEN KNUTSSON	95
	93
Technical Note: Compressibility of Contaminated Fine-Grained Soils—NAMUNU J. MEEGODA AND	101
PRASANNA RATNAWEERA	101
Technical Note: Improved Velocity Method for the Determination of Coefficient of Consolidation—N. S. PANDIAN,	
A. SRIDHARAN, AND K. SATISH KUMAR	113
Discussion on "B-Value Measurements for Granular Materials at High Confining Pressures," by Jerry A. Yamamuro	
and Poul V. Lade—wayne A. Charlie, George E. Veyera, and donald O. doehring	119
Closure—JERRY A. YAMAMURO AND POUL V. LADE	121
Discussion on "The Simple Pile Load Test (SPLT)" by Myung Whan Lee, Se Whan Paik, Won Jae Lee,	
Chang Tok Yi, Dae Young Kim, and Sung Jin Yoon—JOHN N. SCHMERTMANN	122
Testing Forum	123
No. 2, June	
Centrifuge Modeling of Laterally Loaded Pile Groups in Sands—MICHAEL MCVAY, DAVID BLOOMQUIST,	
DENNIS VANDERLINDE, AND JENS CLAUSEN	129
Monitoring System for Hydrologic Evaluation of Landfill Covers—CRAIG H. BENSON, PETER J. BOSSCHER, DALE T. LANE, AND ROBERT J. PLISKA	138
Determination of P-Y Curves Using Inclinometer Data—DAN A. BROWN, SCOTT A. HIDDEN, AND SHU ZHANG	150
Cyclic Undrained Behavior and Liquefaction Potential of Sand Treated with Chemical Grouts and Microfine Cement	
(MC-500)—MOHAMAD H. MAHER, KWANG S. RO, AND JOSEPH P. WELSH	159
Laboratory Investigation on Bitumen Coating and Polyethylene Sheeting for Downdrag Reduction in Piles: A	137
Comparative Study—KAMAL S. TAWFIQ	171
Laboratory Filter Paper Suction Measurements—sandra L. Houston, william N. Houston, and Anne-Marie Wagner	185
Deformability of Rock-Like Materials Using a Sharp Cone Test—Maria Helena Leite, Branko Ladanyi, and	103
DENIS E. GILL	195
	1/3

520 GEOTECHNICAL TESTING JOURNAL

Impact-Echo Response of Hollow Cylindrical Concrete Structures Surrounded by Soil and Rock: Part I—Numerical	
Studies—JIUNN-MING LIN AND MARY SANSALONE	207
Impact-Echo Response of Hollow Cylindrical Concrete Structures Surrounded by Soil and Rock: Part II—Experimental Studies—JIUNN-MING LIN AND MARY SANSALONE	220
A Multiple Purpose Soil Testing Apparatus—AN-BIN HUANG, SHI-PIN HSU, AND HAU-RAN KUHN	227
A New Combined Servo-Controlled Loading Frame/Direct Shear Apparatus for the Study of Concrete or Rock Joint	221
Behavior Under Different Boundary and Loading Conditions—KHALED S. MOUCHAORAB AND	
BRAHIM BENMOKRANE	233
Suggested Modifications to ASTM Standard Methods When Testing Arid, Saline Soils-	
OMAR SAEED BAGHABRA AL-AMOUDI AND SAHEL N. ABDULJAUWAD	243
Discussion on "Behavior of Fiber-Reinforced Cemented Sand Under Static and Cyclic Loads"—M. H. MAHER,	254
Y. C. HO, AND HOE I. LING Closure—M. H. MAHER AND Y. C. HO	254 255
Testing Forum	256
Book Review	264
No. 3, September	
Design and Evaluation of a Flow Pump System for Column Testing—PATRICK L. REDMOND AND	
CHARLES D. SHACKELFORD	269
Physical Modeling of Drilled Shaft Side Resistance in Sand—JOHN P. TURNER AND FRED H. KULHAWY	282
Geo-Environmental Assessment of a Micaceous Soil for Its Potential Use as an Engineered Clay Barrier—	
ABDEL M. O. MOHAMED, RAYMOND N. YONG, BOON K. TAN, ARPAD FARKAS, AND LAURENCE W. CURTIS	291
Tensile Stress-Strain Characteristics of Lightly Cemented Sand—RAGHU N. DASS, SHING-CHUNG YEN, BRAJA M. DAS,	
VIJAY K. PURI, AND MAURICE A. WRIGHT	305
A Study of an Anisotropically Overconsolidated Silt by the Resonant Column Method—EMIR JOSE MACARI AND	215
HON-YIM KO Investigation of the Strain Softening Rehavior of Granular Soils with a New Multiswiel Call Str. CUEUNG D. LO.	315
Investigation of the Strain-Softening Behavior of Granular Soils with a New Multiaxial Cell—SIK-CHEUNG R. LO, JIAN CHU, AND IAN K. LEE	325
A General Dynamic Model for the Resonant Column/Quasi-Static Torsional Shear Apparatus—ALAA K. ASHMAWY AND	323
VINCENT P. DRNEVICH	337
Prediction Models of Resilient Modulus for Nongranular Materials—RAFAEL PEZO AND W. RONALD HUDSON	349
Use of Fuzzy Control in Automated Soil Testing—AN-BIN HUANG, SHI-PIN HSU, AND HAU-RAN KUHN	356
A Compaction Test Method for Soil-Rock Mixtures in which Equipment Size Effects Are Minimized—	
ROBERT T. DONAGHE AND VICTOR H. TORREY III	363
Compaction Control of Earth-Rock Mixtures: A New Approach—VICTOR H. TORREY III AND ROBERT T. DONAGHE Suggested Method: Proposed New Standard Test Method for Laboratory Compaction Testing of Soil-Rock Mixtures	371
Using Standard Effort—Robert T. DONAGHE AND VICTOR H. TORREY III	387
Testing Forum	393
	575
No. 4, December	
Evaluation of Static Capacity of Deep Foundations from Statnamic Testing—DAN A. BROWN	403
Numerical Modeling of a Thermal Conductivity Matric Suction Sensor—ANQING XING AND DELWYN G. FREDLUND	415
Development of a Transparent Material to Model the Geotechnical Properties of Soils—MAGUED G. ISKANDER,	
JIUNNREN LAI, CHARLES J. OSWALD, AND RICHARD J. MANNHEIMER Effect of Propagation Technique on Permachility and Streeth of Company Created Sand Leave a college of the control of the cont	425
Effect of Preparation Technique on Permeability and Strength of Cement-Grouted Sand—LOIS G. SCHWARZ AND RAYMOND J. KRIZEK	434
Time-Dependent Triaxial Relaxation Behavior of a Resedimented Clay—THOMAS C. SHEAHAN, CHARLES C. LADD, AND	434
JOHN T. GERMAINE	444
Engineering Properties of Tire Chips and Soil Mixtures—TUNCER B. EDIL AND PETER J. BOSSCHER	453
Compressibility Characteristics of Gypseous Sandy Soils—ILHAM ALNOURI AND SHEWNIM SALEAM	465
The Effects of Centerline Tube Sampling Strains on the Undrained Behavior of Two Stiff Overconsolidated Clays—	
V. N. GEORGIANNOU AND D. W. HIGHT	475

TABLE OF CONTENTS 521

Technical Note: Effect of Short Duration of Load Increment on the Compressibility of Soils—ASURI SRIDHARAN,	
PUVVADI V. SIVAPULLAIAH, AND V. K. STALIN	489
Technical Note: Instrumentation for a Weigh In Motion System Using Pavement Strain—J. GILBERT MARSH AND	
RICHARD J. JEWELL	498
Technical Note: Expansion Potential of Compacted Fine-Grained Soils Using Suction Measurements—	
KAZIMIERZ GARBULEWSKI, STANISLAW ZAKOWICZ, AND I. KARIM AL-HELO	506
Technical Note: Test Procedures to Evaluate Absorption and Swelling of Grout—RAYMOND J. KRIZEK AND ROY H. BORDEN	512
Technical Note: Moisture Increase in Expansive Soils at Developed Sites—T. S. SIKH	517
Index to Volume 17	519

ASTM Task Group on Data Automation Questionnaire for Geotechnical Laboratories

_;	Name	Position				ļ					
	Company	Address_					1				
	Type of Organization: University Gov	Government	Consulting.	ting	Industry		1				
	List testing or standards organizations in which you participate	ou participate					1				
				٠							
7	With regard to the general laboratory. Number of staff devoted to lab	staff devoted to l		Floor area in sq. ft.	#. 	Superior Contract	d	- ;			
	Which do you have on start? Machinist Mechanical engineer Electronic specialist instrum. Which of the following do you have? Temperature Control Dust Control Emergency Power	chanical engineer trure ControlI	Electron Just Contro	Electronic specialist st ControlEmergenc	nstrumentation specialistsoftware engineer icy Power	n Specialist	soltware en	gineer			
За.	Please complete the following table for soil tests performed in your facility:	erformed in your	facility:								
	Soil Tests	Gradation (D422)	Limits (D4318)	Consolidation (D2435)	n UC	UU (Q)	CU (R) (D4785)	CD (S) (D4785)	Direct Shear	Permeability (D2434, D5084)	Other
	Number of tests per year										
	Check if you automatically record data										
	Check if you use computer for data reduction										
	Check if you use computer for graphs or tables										
_ =	Estimated cost savings per test from automation								***************************************		
] *	* describe other test										
4.	Please complete the following table for rock tests performed in your facility:	performed in you	r facility:		1 A 4 A 5 A 5 A 5 A 5 A 5 A 5 A 5 A 5 A 5						
	Rock Tests	Strength (D2664, D2936, D2938, D3967)		Elastic Modulus (D2845, D3148, D5407)	Permeability (D4525)	Creep (D4341, D4405, D4406)	Sonic (D2845)		Thermal (D4535, D4612, D5334, D5335)	Other* (D4644, D5340, D5312, D5313)	.* 312, D5313)
	Number of tests per year										
	Check if you automatically record data						-				
	Check if you use computer for data reduction								1		
	Check if you use computer for graphs or tables										
	Estimated cost savings per test from automation			1100							

* describe other test

ASTM Task Group on Data Automation Questionnaire for Geotechnical Laboratories

S.	questions (use
	ີ່ຜູ້
	Maximum number of Readings per second you use total Cost of nardware, excluding serisors
	e the sy
	How much time required to train a new user Frequency of breakdown Describe reliability
	u take it Cost of service contract
	Describe experience with service
	Is system rugged tive examples Has exetem heen cost effective? Give example
	Are vou considering additional equipment If yes please answer #7.
	How do you charge your clients for use of the system
	Would you buy the same system again Why
9	
	Function of software:
	Programming language used Operating system used
	nser
	Can you modify software Is software flexible enough for your needs
	Describe software problems you have had
	Would you buy same system again Why
	Is your software available to others
t	If any bare as data constitution any immediate as an associated of the area of
	If you have no used acquisition equipment of a common contract of your present system. Do you plan further automation in the near future? Why
	Which tests do you plan to automate?
	Which parts will you automate?collect datareduce data after testplot results for reportprovide real-time graph as test runscontrol testother
	Will youpurchase softwaredevelop software in-house
	What information is most helpful in selecting a new system (please rank by importance with 1 as highest) manufacturers literature specs example results reliability of system
	experience of another with system other (specify)
∞i	Does your company use data acquisition equipment in field applications? Describe
9.	What standards would be helpful to you in regard to using your data acquisition equipment?
10	10 Please comment on your experiences with data acquisition systems, positive and negative

Thank you for your time and help. Please mail the completed questionnaire to: D18.95 Data Automation Survey, c/o Bob Morgan, ASTM, 1916 Race Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103-1187. Phone any questions to Dr. Marr at (508) 635-0012.

Additional Information for Authors

The Geotechnical Testing Journal (GTJ) is a quarterly publication sponsored by ASTM technical committee D-18 on Soil and Rock, with support from D-35 on Geosynthetics, D-4 on Road and Paving Materials, and D-34 on Waste Management. Each published paper and technical note has been peer-reviewed. Papers and technical notes are open to brief written comments in the Discussion section of the Journal, which also includes authors' written responses.

The Technical Editor may consider a paper submitted to the Journal as a Technical Note if: it gives a reasonably brief description of ongoing studies with or without providing interim, tentative data, and/or conclusions; it reports phenomena observed in the course of research requiring further study; it provides mathematical procedures for facilitating reduction and analysis of data; or it reports promising new materials prior to undertaking extensive research to determine their properties.

The decision as to whether a manuscript is published as a paper or a technical note resides with the Technical Editor.

The guidelines below describe our manuscript selection, peer review, revision, and publication processes. Following these guidelines will ensure expeditious handling of submitted material.

Submission

The name, mailing address, position, affiliation, and telephone and fax number of each author must be supplied in a cover letter. The submitting author is to provide the names, affiliations, addresses, and telephone numbers of five to six individuals who are qualified to review impartially the paper and the research leading to it, and who are not employed at the same institution or company as any of the authors. While these names may or may not be used for the review, we will add them to our pool of potential reviewers. Also, a statement is to be included that the paper has not been published and is not under consideration for publication elsewhere. All permissions for previously published material used in the paper must be submitted in writing at this time.

The submitting author must also affirm that all those listed as co-authors have agreed (a) to be listed and (b) to submit the manuscript to ASTM for publication.

Five copies of the manuscript with clear copies of each figure are required. Original art work and computer disks should accompany the final revision.

Manuscript Instructions*

Word Processing Instructions

The hard-copy text can be produced on any letter-quality printer. Text is to be printed double-spaced with left and right margins of 1 in. (25.4 mm) using left justification. New paragraphs are to be indented five spaces, and end-of-line returns are not to be used.

The *revised* manuscript is to be sent on a $5^{1}/_{4}$ in. (133 mm) or $3^{1}/_{2}$ in. (89 mm) disk preferably in WordPerfect 5.1, with the

* For complete manuscript instructions, which include a sample manuscript, call Barbara Stafford, Administrative Assistant, ASTM Journals, 215/299-5424 or FAX 215/299-5511.

© 1994 by the American Society for Testing and Materials

corresponding hard copies. ASTM can convert from other word-processing packages as well.

Abstract and Keywords

An abstract of 100-150 words and a list of 5-10 keywords that can be used to index the manuscript are required.

Trademarks

Commercialism is to be avoided by using generic terms whenever possible. Trademarks and trade names are to be capitalized if their use is unavoidable.

SI Units

Society policy requires the use of SI units in all publications (including figures and tables). If in.-lb. units must be used to describe materials and present test results, SI equivalents must follow in parentheses (See ASTM Standard for Metric Practice E380 for further information on SI units.)

Figures

Each figure is to be simple and uncluttered. All illustrations are to be placed together at the end of the manuscript with a separate sheet of figure captions. Consecutive Arabic (not Roman) numerals are required. The size of type in illustrations must be large enough to be legible after reduction. All lettering, lines, symbols, and other marks must be drawn in black India ink on white paper. Computer graphics must be produced by a laser printer. Photographs must be high-contrast black and white. SCALE MARKERS MUST BE SHOWN ON ALL PHOTOMICROGRAPHS AND ALL FIGURES THAT ARE REPRESENTATIONS OF EQUIPMENT OR SPECIMENS.

Tables

All tables are to be placed together at the end of the manuscript preceding the illustrations. Tables are to be numbered in Arabic and are cited in numerical order in the text. it is better to use several small simple tables than one large, complex table.

References

References shall be cited in the text by author's last name and date of publication. References shall be listed together at the end of the text in alphabetical order by author's last name. They must contain enough information to allow a reader to consult the cited material with reasonable effort.

Copyright

ASTM requires that the submitting author shall return our "Paper Submittal Form" with the revised paper assigning copyright to ASTM. For U.S. government employees whose manuscript has

been prepared as part of their official duties, it is understood that copyright in the United States is not transferrable.

Manuscript Review

Each new manuscript is sent to the Technical Editor for consideration. If the Technical Editor finds that the manuscript fits the scope of the journal, will be of interest to the readership, and is well written, the paper is processed for peer review.

Three or more reviewers, selected by the Technical Editor, review each paper for technical content, originality, logical conclusions, sound data, reproducibility of results, and clarity of presentation; two or more reviewers provide reviews of each technical note. Their comments are compiled and evaluated. The reviewers' anonymous comments and any other comments from the Technical Editor or his designee are then returned to the author for revision.

The author must submit five copies of the revised manuscript with an annotated (highlighted) version of the paper indicating clearly where each revision has been made and identifying the reviewer's comment to which the revision is responding. Changes in the text including all MANDATORY reviewers' comments must be addressed explicitly on the "Authors' Response Form" provided during revision, as well as any explanation why a change was not made.

The Technical Editor will evaluate all revised manuscripts and make the final decision regarding publication in the Journal. The Editor may (1) accept the revised manuscript for publication, (2) require further revision or explanation, or (3) reject the revised

manuscript. A revised manuscript may be sent for re-evaluation to a reviewer who has found major flaws in the original manuscript.

Editorial Review by ASTM

Each accepted paper is edited by the ASTM staff for style, organization, and proper English usage. The edited manuscript is returned to the author before typesetting. The typeset page proof is also sent to the author and the Technical Editor for final review prior to printing.

If ASTM does not hear from the author by the time designated for return of the edited paper and/or page proof, ASTM will proceed with the publication process.

Book Reviews

ASTM receives books from other publishers requesting book reviews. The books are available to potential reviewers in exchange for publishable reviews. Book reviews are screened and edited by the Technical Editor and staff without peer review.

Testing Forum and Tips

Anyone having interesting test tips should submit a brief description of such innovations to the Testing Forum. Such contributions are screened and edited by the Technical Editor and staff without peer review.

Howard J. Pincus, Ph.D., P.E., C.P.G. Technical Editor

E 380 SELECTED CONVERSION FACTORS

To convert from	to	multiply by
atmosphere (760 mm Hg)	pascal (Pa)	1.013 25 x 10 ⁵
board foot	cubic metre (m³)	2.359 737 x 10 ⁻³
Btu (International Table)	joule (J)	$1.055 \ 056 \times 10^{3}$
Btu (International Table)/h	watt (W)	2.930 711 x 10 ⁻¹
Btu (International Table)•in /s•ft²• °F	watt per metre kelvin [W/(m•K)]	5.192 204 x 10 ²
(k, thermal conductivity)	-	
calorie (International Table)	joule (J)	4.186 800*
centipose	pascal second (Pa•s)	1.000 000* x 10 ⁻³
centistokes	square metre per second (m ² /s)	1.000 000* 10-6
circular mil	square metre (m²)	5.067 075 x 10 ⁻¹⁰
degree Farenheit	degree Celsius	t° C = $(t^{\circ}$ F - 32)/1.8
foot	metre (m)	3.048 000* x 10 ⁻¹
ft²	square metre (m²)	9.290 304* 10-2
ft ³	cubic metre (m³)	2.831 685 x 10 ⁻²
ft•lbf	joule (J)	1.355 818
ft•lbf/min	watt (W)	2.259 697 x 10 ⁻²
ft/s²	metre per second squared (m/s²)	3.048 000* x 10 ⁻¹
gallon (U.S. liquid)	cubic metre (m³)	$3.785 \ 412 \times 10^{-3}$
horsepower (electric)	watt (W)	7.460 000* x 10 ⁺²
inch	metre (m)	$2.540\ 000^{\circ}\ x\ 10^{\circ}$
in. ²	square metre (m²)	6.451 600* x 10 ⁻⁴
in. ³	cubic metre (m³)	1.683 706 x 10 ⁻⁵
inch of mercury (60°F)	pascal (Pa)	$3.376 85 \times 10^3$
inch of water (60°F)	pascal (Pa)	$2.488 4 \times 10^{2}$
kgf/cm ²	pascal (Pa)	9.806 650* x 10⁴
kip (1000 lbf)	newton (N)	$4.448 222 \times 10^3$
kip/in.² (ksi)	pascal (Pa)	6.894 757 x 10 ⁶
ounce (U.S. fluid)	cubic metre (m³)	2.957 353 x 10 ⁻⁵
ounce-force	newton (N)	2.780 139 x 10 ⁻¹
ounce (avoirdupois)	kilogram (kg)	$2.834 952 \times 10^{-2}$
oz (avoirdupois)/ft²	kilogram per square metre (kg/m²)	3.051 517 x 10 ⁻¹
oz (avoirdupois)/yd²	kilogram per square metre (kg/m²)	$3.390 575 \times 10^{-2}$
oz (avoirdupois)/gal (U.S. liquid)	kilogram per cubic metre (kg/m³)	7.489 152
pint (U.S. liquid)	cubic metre (m³)	4.731 765 x 10 ⁻⁴
pound-fource (lbf)	newton (N)	4.448 222
pound (lb avoirdupois)	kilogram (kg)	4.535 924 x 10 ⁻¹
lbf/in² (psi)	pascal (Pa)	$6.894 757 \times 10^3$
lb/in ³	kilogram per cubic metre (kg/m³)	2.767 990 x 10⁴
lb/ft³	kilogram per cubic metre (kg/m³)	1.601 846 x 10
quart (U.S. liquid)	cubic metre (m³)	9.463 529 x 10 ⁻⁴
ton (short, 2000lb)	kilogram (kg)	$9.071 847 \times 10^{2}$
torr (mm Hg, 0°C)	pascal (Pa)	$1.333 \ 22 \times 10^2$
W•h	joule (J)	3.600 000* x 10 ³
yard	metre (m)	9.144 000* x 10 ⁻¹
yd²	square metre (m ²)	8.361 274 x 10 ⁻¹
yd³	cubic metre (m³)	$7.645 549 \times 10^{-1}$

^{*}Exact