

Fracture and Fatigue Control in Structures: *Applications of Fracture Mechanics*

Third Edition

John M. Barsom
Stanley T. Rolfe

ASTM Stock Number: MNL41



ASTM
100 Barr Harbor Drive
West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959

Printed in the U.S.A.

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

The publisher offers special discounts on bulk orders of this book.

For information, please contact:

Manager of Special Sales
Butterworth-Heinemann
225 Wildwood Avenue
Woburn, MA 01801-2041
Tel: 781-904-2500
Fax: 781-904-2620

For information on all Butterworth-Heinemann publications available,
contact our World Wide Web home page at: <http://www.bh.com>

Originally published in the U.S.A. by ASTM

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Barsom, John M., 1938—

Fracture and fatigue control in structures: applications of fracture
mechanics / John M. Barsom, Stanley T. Rolfe.—3rd ed.

p. cm.—(ASTM manual series: MNL 41)

ASTM stock number: MNL41

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-8031-2082-6

1. Fracture mechanics. 2. Metals—Fatigue. 3. Fracture mechanics—
Case Studies.

I. Title. II. Rolfe, S. T. (Stanley Theodore), 1934—

TA409.B37 1999

620.1'126 21—dc21

99-045439

Copyright © 1999 AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR TESTING AND
MATERIALS, West Conshohocken, PA. All rights reserved. This material
may not be reproduced or copied, in whole or in part, in any printed,
mechanical, electronic, film, or other distribution and storage media,
without the written consent of the publisher.

Photocopy Rights

Authorization to photocopy items for internal, personal, or educational
classroom use, or the internal, personal, or educational classroom use of
specific clients, is granted by the American Society for Testing and
Materials (ASTM) provided that the appropriate fee is paid to the
Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923;
Tel: 508-750-8400; online: <http://www.copyright.com/>.

NOTE: This publication does not purport to address all of the safety
problems associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this
publication to establish appropriate safety and health practices and
determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.

Contents

| | |
|----------|------|
| Foreword | xv |
| Preface | xvii |

PART I: INTRODUCTION TO FRACTURE MECHANICS

Chapter 1

| | |
|--|----------|
| Overview of the Problem of Fracture and Fatigue in Structures | 3 |
| 1.1 Historical Background | 3 |
| 1.2 Ductile vs. Brittle Behavior | 9 |
| 1.3 Notch Toughness | 10 |
| 1.4 Introduction to Fracture Mechanics | 14 |
| 1.4.1 Driving Force, K_I | 14 |
| 1.4.2 Resistance Force, K_c | 15 |
| 1.5 Fracture Mechanics Design | 16 |
| 1.6 Fatigue and Stress-Corrosion Crack Growth | 19 |
| 1.7 Fracture and Fatigue Control | 23 |
| 1.8 Fracture Criteria | 24 |
| 1.9 Fitness for Service | 25 |
| 1.10 Case Studies | 26 |
| 1.11 References | 26 |

Chapter 2

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Stress Analysis for Members with Cracks—K_I | 28 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 28 |
| 2.2 Stress-Concentration Factor— k_t | 29 |
| 2.3 Stress-Intensity Factor— K_I | 30 |
| 2.4 Stress-Intensity-Factor Equations | 35 |
| 2.4.1 Through-Thickness Crack | 35 |
| 2.4.2 Single-Edge Notch | 35 |

| | | |
|--------|---|----|
| 2.4.3 | Embedded Elliptical or Circular Crack in Infinite Plate | 37 |
| 2.4.4 | Surface Crack | 39 |
| 2.4.5 | Cracks Growing from Round Holes | 40 |
| 2.4.6 | Single Crack in Beam in Bending | 40 |
| 2.4.7 | Holes or Cracks Subjected to Point or Pressure Loading | 41 |
| 2.4.8 | Estimation of Other K_I Factors | 42 |
| 2.4.9 | Superposition of Stress-Intensity Factors | 47 |
| 2.5 | Crack-Tip Deformation and Plastic Zone Size | 49 |
| 2.6 | Effective K_I Factor for Large Plastic Zone Size | 51 |
| 2.7 | J_I and δ_I Driving Forces | 54 |
| 2.7.1 | J Integral | 54 |
| 2.7.2 | CTOD (δ_I) | 55 |
| 2.8 | Summary | 55 |
| 2.9 | References | 57 |
| | Appendix | 58 |
| 2.10 | Griffith, CTOD and J -Integral Theories | 58 |
| 2.10.1 | The Griffith Theory | 58 |
| 2.10.2 | Crack-Tip Opening Displacement (CTOD) and the Dugdale Model | 60 |
| 2.10.3 | J -Integral | 63 |

PART II: FRACTURE BEHAVIOR

Chapter 3

| | | |
|--|---|----|
| Resistance Forces—K_c-J_c-δ_c | 67 | |
| 3.1 | General Overview | 67 |
| 3.2 | Service Conditions Affecting Fracture Toughness | 69 |
| 3.2.1 | Temperature | 70 |
| 3.2.2 | Loading Rate | 70 |
| 3.2.3 | Constraint | 71 |
| 3.3 | ASTM Standard Fracture Tests | 76 |
| 3.4 | Fracture Behavior Regions | 79 |
| 3.5 | General ASTM Fracture Test Methodology | 80 |
| 3.5.1 | Test Specimen Size | 80 |
| 3.5.2 | Test Specimen Notch | 82 |
| 3.5.3 | Test Fixtures and Instrumentation | 82 |
| 3.5.4 | Analysis of Results | 85 |
| 3.6 | Relations Between K - J - δ | 87 |
| 3.7 | References | 90 |
| 3.8 | <i>Appendix A: K, J, CTOD (δ) Standard Test Method—E 1820</i> | 91 |

| | | |
|------|--|------------|
| 3.9 | <i>Appendix B: Reference Temperature T_{ov} to Establish a Master Curve Using K_{Jc} Values in Standard Test Method E 1921</i> | 93 |
| | | |
| | Chapter 4 | |
| | Effects of Temperature, Loading Rate, and Constraint | 95 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 95 |
| 4.2 | Effects of Temperature and Loading Rate on K_{Jc} , $K_{Jc}(t)$, and K_{Id} | 96 |
| 4.3 | Effect of Loading Rate on Fracture Toughness | 98 |
| 4.4 | Effect of Constraint on Fracture Toughness | 101 |
| 4.5 | Loading-Rate Shift for Structural Steels | 109 |
| | 4.5.1 CVN Temperature Shift | 109 |
| | 4.5.2 K_{Jc} - K_{Id} Impact-Loading-Rate Shift | 110 |
| | 4.5.3 $K_{Jc}(t)$ Intermediate-Loading Rate Shift | 111 |
| | 4.5.4 Predictive Relationship for Temperature Shift | 112 |
| | 4.5.5 Significance of Temperature Shift | 112 |
| 4.6 | References | 116 |
| | | |
| | Chapter 5 | |
| | CVN-K_{Id}-K_{Jc} Correlations | 118 |
| 5.1 | General | 118 |
| 5.2 | Two-Stage CVN- K_{Id} - K_{Jc} Correlation | 119 |
| 5.3 | K_{Jc} -CVN Upper-Shelf Correlation | 120 |
| 5.4 | K_{Id} Value at NDT Temperature | 123 |
| 5.5 | Comparison of CVN- K_{Id} - K_{Jc} - J and δ Relations | 126 |
| 5.6 | References | 131 |
| | | |
| | Chapter 6 | |
| | Fracture-Mechanics Design | 133 |
| 6.1 | Introduction | 133 |
| 6.2 | General Fracture-Mechanics Design Procedure for Terminal Failure | 136 |
| 6.3 | Design Selection of Materials | 142 |
| 6.4 | Design Analysis of Failure of a 260-In.-Diameter Motor Case | 146 |
| 6.5 | Design Example—Selection of a High-Strength Steel for a Pressure Vessel | 150 |
| | 6.5.1 Case I—Traditional Design Approach | 150 |
| | 6.5.2 Case II—Fracture-Mechanics Design | 151 |
| | 6.5.3 General Analysis of Cases I and II | 157 |
| 6.6 | References | 159 |

PART III: FATIGUE AND ENVIRONMENTAL BEHAVIOR

| | |
|--|------------|
| <i>Chapter 7</i> | |
| Introduction to Fatigue | 163 |
| 7.1 Introduction | 163 |
| 7.2 Factors Affecting Fatigue Performance | 164 |
| 7.3 Fatigue Loading | 164 |
| 7.3.1 Constant-Amplitude Loading | 165 |
| 7.3.2 Variable-Amplitude Loading | 166 |
| 7.4 Fatigue Testing | 167 |
| 7.4.1 Small Laboratory Tests | 168 |
| 7.4.1a Fatigue-Crack-Initiation Tests | 168 |
| 7.4.1b Fatigue-Crack-Propagation Tests | 173 |
| 7.4.2 Tests of Actual or Simulated Structural Components | 174 |
| 7.5 Some Characteristics of Fatigue Cracks | 174 |
| 7.6 References | 181 |
| | |
| <i>Chapter 8</i> | |
| Fatigue-Crack Initiation | 182 |
| 8.1 General Background | 182 |
| 8.2 Effect of Stress Concentration on Fatigue-Crack Initiation | 184 |
| 8.3 Generalized Equation for Predicting the Fatigue-Crack-Initiation Threshold for Steels | 187 |
| 8.4 Methodology for Predicting Fatigue-Crack Initiation from Notches | 189 |
| 8.5 References | 192 |
| | |
| <i>Chapter 9</i> | |
| Fatigue-Crack Propagation under Constant and Variable-Amplitude Load Fluctuation | 194 |
| 9.1 General Background | 194 |
| 9.2 Fatigue-Crack-Propagation Threshold | 196 |
| 9.3 Constant Amplitude Load Fluctuation | 200 |
| 9.3.1 Martensitic Steels | 200 |
| 9.3.2 Ferrite-Pearlite Steels | 201 |
| 9.3.3 Austenitic Stainless Steels | 202 |
| 9.3.4 Aluminum and Titanium Alloys | 202 |
| 9.4 Effect of Mean Stress on Fatigue-Crack Propagation Behavior | 204 |
| 9.5 Effects on Cyclic Frequency and Waveform | 205 |

| | | |
|---------|--|-----|
| 9.6 | Effects of Stress Concentration on Fatigue-Crack Growth | 207 |
| 9.7 | Fatigue-Crack Propagation in Steel Weldments | 210 |
| 9.8 | Design Example | 212 |
| 9.9 | Variable-Amplitude Load Fluctuation | 216 |
| 9.9.1 | Probability-Density Distribution | 216 |
| 9.9.2 | Fatigue-Crack Growth under Variable-Amplitude Loading | 218 |
| 9.9.3 | Single and Multiple High-Load Fluctuations | 220 |
| 9.9.4 | Variable-Amplitude Load Fluctuations | 221 |
| 9.9.4.1 | The Root-Mean-Square (RMS) Model | 222 |
| 9.9.4.2 | Fatigue-Crack Growth Under Variable-Amplitude Ordered-Sequence Cyclic Load | 223 |
| 9.10 | Fatigue-Crack Growth in Various Steels | 225 |
| 9.11 | Fatigue-Crack Growth Under Various Unimodal Distribution Curves | 227 |
| 9.12 | References | 232 |

Chapter 10

| | | |
|---|--|-----|
| Fatigue and Fracture Behavior of Welded Components | 237 | |
| 10.1 | Introduction | 237 |
| 10.2 | Residual Stresses | 238 |
| 10.3 | Distortion | 240 |
| 10.4 | Stress Concentration | 241 |
| 10.5 | Weld Discontinuities and Their Effects | 243 |
| 10.5.1 | Fatigue Crack Initiation Sites | 246 |
| 10.6 | Fatigue Crack Behavior of Welded Components | 250 |
| 10.6.1 | Fatigue Behavior of Smooth Welded Components | 250 |
| 10.6.1.1 | Specimen Geometries and Test Methods | 250 |
| 10.6.1.2 | Effects of Surface Roughness | 251 |
| 10.6.2 | Fatigue Behavior of As-Welded Components | 253 |
| 10.6.2.1 | Effect of Geometry | 256 |
| 10.6.2.2 | Effect of Composition | 258 |
| 10.6.2.3 | Effect of Residual Stress | 260 |
| 10.6.2.4 | Effect of Postweld Heat Treatment | 263 |
| 10.7 | Methodologies of Various Codes and Standards | 264 |
| 10.7.1 | General | 264 |
| 10.7.2 | AASHTO Fatigue Design Curves for Welded Bridge Components | 265 |
| 10.8 | Variable Amplitude Cyclic Loads | 269 |

| | | |
|-------------------|--|------------|
| 10.8.1 | Example Problem | 270 |
| 10.9 | Fracture-Toughness Behavior of Welded Components | 272 |
| 10.9.1 | General Discussion | 272 |
| 10.9.2 | Weldments | 273 |
| 10.9.3 | Fracture-Toughness Tests for Weldments | 275 |
| 10.10 | References | 279 |
| | | |
| <i>Chapter 11</i> | | |
| K_{Isc} | and Corrosion Fatigue Crack Initiation and Crack Propagation | 281 |
| 11.1 | Introduction | 281 |
| 11.2 | Stress-Corrosion Cracking | 281 |
| 11.2.1 | Fracture-Mechanics Approach | 283 |
| 11.2.2 | Experimental Procedures | 284 |
| 11.2.3 | K_{Isc} —A Material Property | 286 |
| 11.2.4 | Test Duration | 290 |
| 11.2.5 | K_{Isc} Data for Some Material-Environment Systems | 291 |
| 11.2.6 | Crack-Growth-Rate Tests | 294 |
| 11.3 | Corrosion-Fatigue Crack Initiation | 296 |
| 11.3.1 | Test Specimens and Experimental Procedures | 296 |
| 11.3.2 | Corrosion-Fatigue-Crack-Initiation Behavior of Steels | 298 |
| 11.3.2.1 | Fatigue-Crack-Initiation Behavior | 299 |
| 11.3.2.2 | Corrosion Fatigue Crack-Initiation Behavior | 299 |
| 11.3.2.3 | Effect of Cyclic-Load Frequency | 302 |
| 11.3.2.4 | Effect of Stress Ratio | 302 |
| 11.3.2.5 | Long-Life Behavior | 303 |
| 11.3.2.6 | Generalized Equation for Predicting the Corrosion-Fatigue Crack-Initiation Behavior for Steels | 304 |
| 11.4 | Corrosion-Fatigue-Crack Propagation | 305 |
| 11.4.1 | Corrosion-Fatigue Crack-Propagation Threshold | 306 |
| 11.4.2 | Corrosion-Fatigue-Crack-Propagation Behavior Below K_{Isc} | 311 |
| 11.4.3 | Effect of Cyclic-Stress Waveform | 318 |
| 11.4.4 | Environmental Effects During Transient Loading | 320 |
| 11.4.5 | Generalized Corrosion-Fatigue Behavior | 322 |
| 11.5 | Prevention of Corrosion-Fatigue Failures | 325 |
| 11.6 | References | 326 |

PART IV: FRACTURE AND FATIGUE CONTROL

| | |
|---|------------|
| <i>Chapter 12</i> | |
| Fracture and Fatigue Control | 333 |
| 12.1 Introduction | 333 |
| 12.2 Historical Background | 337 |
| 12.3 Fracture and Fatigue Control Plan | 339 |
| 12.3.1 Identification of the Factors | 340 |
| 12.3.2 Establishment of the Relative Contribution | 342 |
| 12.3.3 Determination of Relative Efficiency | 346 |
| 12.3.4 Recommendation of Specific Design Considerations | 353 |
| 12.4 Fracture Control Plan for Steel Bridges | 354 |
| 12.4.1 General | 354 |
| 12.4.2 Design | 354 |
| 12.4.3 Fabrication | 355 |
| 12.4.4 Material | 355 |
| 12.4.5 AASHTO Charpy V-Notch Requirements | 356 |
| 12.4.6 Verification of the AASHTO Fracture Toughness Requirement | 357 |
| 12.4.7 High-Performance Steels | 357 |
| 12.5 Comprehensive Fracture-Control Plans— George R. Irwin | 357 |
| 12.6 References | 363 |
| <i>Chapter 13</i> | |
| Fracture Criteria | 364 |
| 13.1 Introduction | 364 |
| 13.2 General Levels of Performance | 366 |
| 13.3 Consequences of Failure | 368 |
| 13.4 Original 15-ft-lb CVN Impact Criterion for Ship Steels | 370 |
| 13.5 Transition-Temperature Criterion | 373 |
| 13.6 Through-Thickness Yielding Criterion | 374 |
| 13.7 Leak-Before-Break Criterion | 378 |
| 13.8 Fracture Criterion for Steel Bridges | 381 |
| 13.9 Summary | 382 |
| 13.10 References | 382 |
| <i>Chapter 14</i> | |
| Fitness for Service | 384 |

| | | |
|--------|--|-----|
| 14.1 | Introduction | 384 |
| 14.2 | Use of Fracture Mechanics in Fitness-for-Service Analysis | 385 |
| 14.2.1 | General | 385 |
| 14.2.2 | Effect of Loading Rate | 386 |
| 14.2.3 | Effect of Constraint | 389 |
| 14.2.4 | Effect of Many Factors | 394 |
| 14.3 | Existing Fitness-for-Service Procedures | 396 |
| 14.3.1 | General | 396 |
| 14.3.2 | PD 6493 | 397 |
| 14.3.3 | ASME Section XI | 401 |
| 14.3.4 | API 579 | 402 |
| 14.4 | Benefits of a Proof or Hydro-Test to Establish Fitness for Continued Service | 402 |
| 14.5 | Difference Between Initiation and Arrest (Propagation) Fracture Toughness Behavior | 404 |
| 14.6 | References | 408 |

PART V: APPLICATIONS OF FRACTURE MECHANICS—CASE STUDIES

Chapter 15

| | | |
|---|--|-----|
| Importance of Fracture Toughness and Proper Fabrication Procedures—The Bryte Bend Bridge | 413 | |
| 15.1 | Introduction | 413 |
| 15.2 | AASHTO Fracture Control Plan for Steel Bridges | 414 |
| 15.3 | Bryte Bend Bridge Brittle Fracture | 414 |
| 15.4 | Design Aspects of the Bryte Bend Bridge as Related to the AASHTO Fracture Control Plan (FCP) | 420 |
| 15.5 | Adequacy of the Current AASHTO Fracture Control Plan | 423 |
| 15.5.1 | Implied vs. Guaranteed Notch Toughness | 423 |
| 15.5.2 | Effect of Details on Fatigue Life | 424 |
| 15.5.3 | Summary | 426 |
| 15.6 | References | 427 |

Chapter 16

| | | |
|--|---|-----|
| Importance of Constraint and Loading—The Ingram Barge | 428 | |
| 16.1 | Introduction | 428 |
| 16.2 | Effect of Constraint on Structural Behavior | 428 |
| 16.3 | Constraint Experiences in the Ship Industry | 431 |
| 16.4 | Ingram Barge Failure | 431 |
| 16.5 | Summary | 436 |
| 16.6 | References | 437 |

Chapter 17

| | |
|---|------------|
| Importance of Loading and Inspection—Trans Alaska Pipeline Service Oil Tankers | 438 |
| 17.1 Introduction | 438 |
| 17.2 Background | 439 |
| 17.3 Fracture Mechanics Methodology | 439 |
| 17.4 Application of Methodology to a Detail in an Oil Tanker | 441 |
| 17.4.1 Identification of Critical Details | 441 |
| 17.4.2 Fracture Toughness | 441 |
| 17.4.3 Stress Intensity Factors and Critical Crack Size for Critical Details | 443 |
| 17.4.4 Inspection Capability for Initial Crack Size, a_0 | 444 |
| 17.4.5 Determination of Histogram for Fatigue Loading | 445 |
| 17.4.6 Fatigue Crack Propagation in Bottom Shell Plates | 447 |
| 17.5 Effect of Reduced Fatigue Loading | 450 |
| 17.6 Summary | 453 |
| 17.7 References | 454 |

Chapter 18

| | |
|---|------------|
| Importance of Proper Analysis, Fracture Toughness, Fabrication, and Loading on Structural Behavior—Failure Analysis of a Lock-and-Dam Sheet Piling | 455 |
| 18.1 Introduction | 455 |
| 18.2 Description of the Failure | 457 |
| 18.3 Steel Properties | 457 |
| 18.4 Failure Analysis of Sheet 55 | 462 |
| 18.5 Summary | 466 |
| 18.6 References | 467 |

Chapter 19

| | |
|--|------------|
| Importance of Loading Rate on Structural Performance—Burst Tests of Steel Casings | 468 |
| 19.1 Introduction | 468 |
| 19.2 Material and Experimental Procedures | 468 |
| 19.3 Experimental Procedure | 469 |
| 19.4 Failure Analysis | 472 |
| 19.5 Metallographic Analysis | 476 |
| 19.6 Examination of API Specifications for J-55 and K-55 Casing | 482 |
| 19.7 References | 487 |

| | |
|-------------------|------------|
| <i>Chapter 20</i> | |
| Problems | 491 |
| Part I | 491 |
| Part II | 494 |
| Part III | 499 |
| Part IV | 502 |
| | |
| <i>Index</i> | 507 |

Foreword

(George Irwin wrote the following foreword for the first and second editions of this book in 1977 and 1987. Dr. Irwin, the father of fracture mechanics, passed away in 1998.)

IN HIS WELL-KNOWN TEST on "Mathematical Theory of Elasticity," Love inserted brief discussions of several topics of engineering importance for which linear elastic treatment appeared inadequate. One of these topics was rupture. Love noted that various safety factors, ranging from 6 to 12 and based upon ultimate tensile strength, were in common use. He commented that "the conditions of rupture are but vaguely understood." The first edition of Love's treatise was published in 1892. Fifty years later, structural materials had been improved with a corresponding decrease in the size of safety factors. Although Love's comment was still applicable in terms of engineering practice in 1946, it is possible to see in retrospect that most of the ideas needed to formulate the mechanics of fracturing on a sound basis were available. The basic content of modern fracture mechanics was developed in the 1946 to 1966 period. Serious fracture problems supplied adequate motivation and the development effort was natural to that time of intensive technological progress.

Mainly what was needed was a simplifying viewpoint, progressive crack extension, along with recognition of the fact that real structures contain discontinuities. Some discontinuities are prior cracks and others develop into cracks with applications of stress. The general idea is as follows. Suppose a structural component breaks after some general plastic yield. Clearly a failure of this kind could be traced to a design error which caused inadequate section strength or to the application of an overload. The fracture failures which were difficult to understand are those which occur in a rather brittle manner at stress levels no larger than were expected when the structure was designed. Fractures of this second kind, in a special way, are also due to overloads. If one considers the stress redistribution around a pre-existing crack subjected to tension, it is clear that the region adjacent to the perimeter of the crack is overloaded due to the severe stress concentration and that local plastic strains must occur. If the toughness is limited, the plastic strains at the crack border may be accompanied by crack extension. However, from similitude, the crack border overload increases with crack size. Thus progressive crack extension tends to be self stimulating.

Given a prior crack, and a material of limited toughness, the possibility for development of rapid fracturing prior to general yielding is therefore evident.

Analytical fracture mechanics provides methods for characterizing the "overload" at the leading edge of a crack. Experimental fracture mechanics collects information of practical importance relative to fracture toughness, fatigue cracking, and corrosion cracking. By centering attention on the active region involved in progressive fracturing, the collected laboratory data are in a form which can be transferred to the leading edge of a crack in a structural component. Use of fracture mechanics analysis and data has explained many service fracture failures with a satisfactory degree of quantitative accuracy. By studying the possibilities for such fractures in advance, effective fracture control plans have been developed.

Currently the most important task is educational. It must be granted that all aspects of fracture control are not yet understood. However, the information now available is basic, widely applicable, and should be integrated into courses of instruction in strength of materials. The special value of this book is the emphasis on practical use of available information. The basic concepts of fracture mechanics are presented in a direct and simple manner. The descriptions of test methods are clear with regard to the essential experimental details and are accompanied by pertinent illustrative data. The discussions of fracture control are well-balanced. Readers will learn that fracture control with real structures is not a simple task. This should be expected and pertains to other aspects of real structures in equal degree. The book provides helpful fracture control suggestions and a sound viewpoint. Beyond this the engineer must deal with actual problems with such resources as are needed. The adage "experience is the best teacher" does not seem to be altered by the publication of books. However, the present book by two highly respected experts in applications of fracture mechanics provides the required background training. Clearly the book serves its intended purpose and will be of lasting value.

George R. Irwin

University of Maryland
College Park, Maryland

Preface

THE FIELD OF FRACTURE MECHANICS has become the primary approach to controlling fracture and fatigue failures in structures of all types. This book introduces the field of fracture mechanics from an applications viewpoint. Then it focuses on fitness for service, or life extension, of existing structures. Finally, it provides case studies to allow the practicing professional engineer or student to see the applications of fracture mechanics directly to various types of structures.

Since the first publication of this book in 1977, and the second edition in 1987, the field of fracture mechanics has grown significantly. Several specifications for fracture and fatigue control now either use fracture mechanics directly or are based on concepts of fracture mechanics. In this book, we emphasize applications of fracture mechanics to prevent fracture and fatigue failures in structures, rather than the theoretical aspects of fracture mechanics.

The concepts of *driving force* and *resistance force*, widely used in structural engineering, are used to help the reader differentiate between the mathematical side of fracture mechanics and the materials side of fracture mechanics. The driving force, K_I , is a calculated value dependent only upon the structure (or specimen) geometry, the applied load, and the size and shape of a flaw. Material properties are *not* needed to calculate values of K_I . It is analogous to the calculation of the applied stress, σ , in an unflawed structure. In fatigue, the driving force is $\Delta K = K_{I_{\max}} - K_{I_{\min}}$, analogous to $\Delta\sigma = \sigma_{\max} - \sigma_{\min}$.

In contrast, the resistance force, K_c (or K_{Ic} or δ_c or J_{Ic} , etc.), is a material property that can be obtained only by testing. Furthermore, this property can vary widely within a given ASTM composition, depending upon thermomechanical processing as well as a function of temperature, loading rate, and constraint, depending on the material. It is analogous to the measurement of yield strength.

By focusing on whether fracture mechanics is being used to *calculate* the driving force or to *measure* the resistance force, much of the mystery of fracture mechanics is eliminated. In the same manner that the driving stress, σ , is kept below the resistance stress, σ_{ys} , to prevent yielding, K_I should be kept below K_c to prevent fracture.

We believe the book will serve as an introduction to the field of fracture mechanics to practicing engineers, as well as seniors or beginning graduate students. This field has become increasingly important to the engineering community. In recent years, structural failures and the desire for increased safety and

reliability of structures have led to the development of various fracture and fatigue criteria for many types of structures, including bridges, planes, pipelines, ships, buildings, pressure vessels, and nuclear pressure vessels.

In addition, the development of fracture-control plans for new and unusual types of structures has become more widespread. More importantly, the growing age of all types of structures, coupled with the economic fact that they may not be able to be replaced, necessitates a close look at the current safety and reliability of existing structures, i.e., a fitness for service or life extension consideration.

In this book, each of the topics of fracture criteria and fracture control is developed from an engineering viewpoint, including some economic and practical considerations. The book should assist engineers to become aware of the fundamentals of fracture mechanics and, in particular, of controlling fracture and fatigue failures in structures. Finally, the use of fracture mechanics in determining fitness for service or life extension of existing structures whose *design* life may have expired but whose *actual* life can be continued is covered.

In Parts I and II, the fundamentals of fracture mechanics theory are developed. In describing fracture behavior, the concepts of driving force (K_I), Part I, and the resistance force (K_c), Part II, are introduced. Examples of the calculations or the measurement of these two basic parts of fracture mechanics are presented for both linear-elastic and elastic-plastic conditions.

The effects of temperature, loading rate, and constraint on the measurement of various resistance forces (K_c , K_{Ic} , or δ_c , or J_{Ic} , etc.) are presented in Part II. Correlations between various types of fracture tests also are described.

In Part III, fatigue behavior (i.e., repeated loading) in structures is introduced by separating fatigue into initiation and propagation lives. The total fatigue life of a test specimen, member or structure, N_f , is composed of the initiation life, N_i , and the propagation life, N_p . Analysis of both of these components is presented as separate topics. In calculating the driving force, ΔK_I , the same K_I expressions developed in Part I for fracture are used in fatigue analyses of members with cracks subjected to repeated loading. Fatigue of weldments is also treated as a separate topic. Environmental effects (K_{Isc}) complete the topics covered in Part III.

Parts I, II, and III focus on an introduction to the complex field of fracture mechanics as applied to fracture and fatigue in a straightforward, logical manner. The authors believe that Parts I, II, and III will serve the very vital function of introducing the topic to students and practicing engineers from an applied viewpoint.

Part IV focuses on applying the principles described in Parts I, II, and III to fracture and fatigue control as well as fitness for service of existing structures. Also called life extension, fitness for service is becoming widely used in many fields.

Many of today's existing bridges, ships, pressure vessels, pipelines, etc. have reached their original design life. If, from an economic viewpoint, it is desirable to continue to keep these structures in service, fracture mechanics concepts can

be used to evaluate the structural integrity and reliability of existing structures. This important engineering field has been referred to as *fitness for service* or *life extension* and is described in Part IV.

Part V, Applications of Fracture Mechanics—Case Studies, should be invaluable to practicing engineers responsible for assessing the safety and reliability of existing structures, as well as showing students real world applications. The importance of the factors affecting fracture and fatigue failures is illustrated by case studies of actual failures. Case studies are described in terms of the importance of factors such as fracture toughness, fabrication, constraint, loading rate, etc. in the particular case study. Thus, for example, a case study describing the importance of constraint in a failure can easily be used in other types of structures where constraint is important.

Finally, the authors wish to acknowledge the support of our many colleagues, some of whom are former students who have contributed to the development of this book as well as to the continued encouragement and support of our families.

John Barsom
Stan Rolfe

ABOUT THE AUTHORS



DR. JOHN BARSOM is a consultant in the area of fracture mechanics, failure analysis, and accident reconstruction. He retired after 31 years with USX as Research Fellow and was chief of the Materials Behavior Division. Dr. Barsom has published more than 70 technical papers on fracture, fatigue, environmental effects, and steel properties.

Past chairman of ASTM Committee E08 on Fracture Testing, Dr. Barsom is a recipient of the ASTM Award of Merit and a fellow of the Society. He is also a fellow of the ASM International, a fellow of ASME, and, in 1983, was named Engineer of the Year by the ASME Pittsburgh Chapter.

He is a member of the Project Oversight Committee of the SAC Steel Project on earthquake design and a member of the AISC (American Institute of Steel Construction) Committee on Specifications. He was chairman of the PVRC (Pressure Vessel Research Council) Committee on Failure Modes of Components, the AISI Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, and the NSBA (National Steel Bridge Alliance) Committee on Technology and Education.

Dr. Barsom holds a Ph.D. in mechanical engineering, an M.S. in mathematics, and a B.S. in physics from the University of Pittsburgh.

DR. STANLEY ROLFE is the Albert P. Learned Professor of Engineering at the University of Kansas and has for the past 30 years taught and conducted research on the fracture and fatigue behavior of materials, experimental stress analysis, fracture mechanics, and behavior of structural materials as related to the design of structures. He has published more than 70 technical papers on fracture, fatigue, and behavior.

He received the Irvin E. Youngberg Research Award from the University of Kansas and the University of Illinois College of Engineering Alumni Honor Award for distinguished service in engineering.

Dr. Rolfe holds a Ph.D. in civil engineering from the University of Illinois. He is a registered professional engineer in Pennsylvania and Kansas and a member of the National Academy of Engineering.



One of the biggest improvements over previous editions is that a new section on case studies of classic failures of steel structures is included. As one involved in reliability of steel structures for over 35 years, I find this book an indispensable addition to my actively utilized library.

ROBERT J. (JIM) GOODE, P.E., *Consulting Engineer*

ISBN 0-8031-2082-6